

INCOME v. WAGES

"WAGES" ARE
NOT "INCOME"

TAX FREEDOM NOW!



WHAT THE IRS WON'T TELL YOU!

©1981, VIC LOCKMAN

the CONFUSING INTERNAL REVENUE CODE...

MOST AMERICANS HAVE BEEN DUPED BY THE IRS INTO "VOLUNTEERING" TO PAY "INCOME" TAX WHEN THEY HAVE RECEIVED **NO** "INCOME"!

"THE GENERAL TERM 'INCOME' IS NOT DEFINED IN THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE." U.S. v. BALLARD, (1976) 535 F2d 400

"EMPLOYEE" IS DEFINED ONLY AS "AN OFFICER, EMPLOYEE, OR ELECTED OFFICIAL OF THE U.S.... ALSO...AN OFFICER OF A CORPORATION" SEC. 3401 (c), A FULL-TIME INSURANCE SALESMAN, SEC. 7701(a), OR ANYONE IN THE 'MASTER-SERVANT' RELATIONSHIP (SLAVERY) SEC. 1402 (d)



IN FACT, THE I.R. CODE IS SO BIG AND COMPLICATED THAT EVEN VETERAN LAWYERS BECOME CONFUSED BY IT!

THIS IS ONE REASON WE HAVE A COURT SYSTEM... SO THAT VAGUE, CONFUSING, OR UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS MAY BE TESTED!

THIS HAS ALREADY HAPPENED WITH OUR "INCOME TAX" LAWS... BUT THE IRS WON'T ADMIT IT OR TELL YOU! READ ON...

YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PAY "INCOME TAX"...

...UNLESS YOU RECEIVE "PROFIT" OR "GAIN" FROM A "SOURCE"...



...OR UNLESS YOU ARE ENFRANCHISED (LICENSED) BY THE STATE...



THE "INCOME TAX" FROM THE BEGINNING HAS BEEN A "SOAK-THE-RICH" SCHEME!