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Four Poems

CARL SANDBURG

Gone

Everybody loved Chick Lorimer in our town.
   Far off
   Everybody loved her.
So we all love a wild girl keeping a hold
   On a dream she wants.
Nobody knows now where Chick Lorimer went.
Nobody knows why she packed her trunk: a few old things
And is gone.
   Gone with her little chin
   Thrust ahead of her
   And her soft hair blowing careless
   From under a wide hat,
Dancer, singer, a laughing passionate lover.

Were there ten men or a hundred hunting Chick?
Were there five men or fifty with aching hearts?
   Everybody loved Chick Lorimer.
   Nobody knows where she's gone.

Copyright, 1916, by Margaret C. Anderson
Graves

I dreamed one man stood against a thousand,
One man damned as a wrongheaded fool.
One year and another he walked the streets,
And a thousand shrugs and hoots
Met him in the shoulders and mouths he passed.

He died alone
And only the undertaker came to his funeral.

Flowers grow over his grave and in the wind,
And over the graves of the thousand, too,
The flowers grow and in the wind.

Flowers and the wind,
Flowers and over the graves of the dead,
Petals of red, leaves of yellow, streaks of white,
Masses of purple sagging . . .
I love you and your great way of forgetting.

Choices

They offer you many things,
I a few.
Moonlight on the play of fountains at night
With water sparkling a drowsy monotone,
Bare-shouldered, smiling women and talk
And a cross-play of loves and adulteries
And a fear of death
    and a remembering of regrets:
All this they offer you.
I come with:
salt and bread
a terrible job of work
and tireless war;
Come and have now:
hunger
danger
and hate.
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Carl Sandburg

From a silhouette photograph by Elizabeth Buchmann
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Child of the Romans

The dago shovelman sits by the railroad track
Eating a noon meal of bread and bologna.
   A train whirls by and men and women at tables
   Alive with red roses and yellow jonquils,
   Eat steaks running with brown gravy,
   Strawberries and cream, eclairs and coffee.

The dago shovelman finishes the dry bread and bologna,
Washes it down with a dipper from the water-boy
And goes back to the second half of a ten-hour day's work,
Keeping the road-bed so the roses and jonquils
Shake hardly at all in the cut glass vases
Standing slender on the tables in the dining cars.
THEODORE DREISER is old—he is very, very old. I do not know how many years he has lived, perhaps thirty, perhaps fifty, but he is very old. Something gray and bleak and hurtful that has been in the world almost forever is personified in him.

When Dreiser is gone we shall write books, many of them. In the books we write there will be all of the qualities Dreiser lacks. We shall have a sense of humor, and everyone knows Dreiser has no sense of humor. More than that we shall have grace, lightness of touch, dreams of beauty bursting through the husks of life.

Oh, we who follow him shall have many things that Dreiser does not have. That is a part of the wonder and the beauty of Dreiser, the things that others will have because of Dreiser.

When he was editor of The Delineator, Dreiser went one day, with a woman friend, to visit an orphans' asylum. The woman told me the story of that afternoon in the big, gray building with Dreiser, heavy and lumpy and old, sitting on a platform and watching the children—the terrible children—all in their little uniforms, trooping in.

"The tears ran down his cheeks and he shook his head," the woman said. That is a good picture of Dreiser. He is old and he does not know what to do with life, so he just tells about it as he sees it, simply and honestly. The tears run down his cheeks and he shakes his head.

Heavy, heavy, the feet of Theodore. How easy to pick his books to pieces, to laugh at him. Thump, thump, thump, here he comes, Dreiser, heavy and old.

The feet of Dreiser are making a path for us, the brutal heavy feet. They are tramping through the wilderness, making a path. Presently the path will be a street, with great arches overhead and delicately carved spires piercing the sky. Along the street will run children, shouting "Look at me"—forgetting the heavy feet of Dreiser.

The men who follow Dreiser will have much to do. Their road is long. But because of Dreiser, we, in America, will never have to face the road through the wilderness, the road that Dreiser faced.

**Heavy, heavy, hangs over thy head.**

**Fine or superfine.**
To John Cowper Powys, on His "Confessions"

ARTHUR DAVISON FICKE

I.
Old salamander basking in the fire,
Winking your lean tongue at a coal or two,
Lolling amid the maelstroms of desire,
And envying the lot of none or few—
Old serpent alien to the human race,
Immune to poison, apples, and the rest,
Examining like a microbe each new face
And pawing, passionless, each novel breast—
Admirer of God and of the Devil,
Hater of Heaven, Purgatory, and Hell,
Skeptic of good, more skeptical yet of evil—
Knowing the sick soul sounder than the well—
We mortals send you greeting from afar—
How very like a human being you are!

II.
Impenetrably isolate you stand,
Tickling the world with a long-jointed straw.
Lazy as Behemoth, your thoughts demand
No cosmic plan to satisfy your maw;
But as the little shining gnats buzz by
You eat the brightest and spit out the rest,
Then streak your front with ochre carefully
And dance, a Malay with a tattooed breast.
There are no sins, no virtues left for you,
No strength, no weakness, no apostasy.
You know the world, now old, was never new,
And that its wisdom is a shameless lie.
So in the dusk you sit you down to plan
Some fresh confusion for the heart of man.
III.
Lover of Chaos and the Sacred Seven!
Scorner of Midas and St. Francis, too!
Wearied of earth, yet dubious of Heaven,
Fain of old follies and of pastures new—
Why should the great, whose spirits haunt the void
Between Orion and the Northern Wain,
Make you their mouthpiece? Why have they employed
So brassed a trumpet for so high a strain?
Perhaps, like you, they count it little worth
To pipe save for the piping; so they take
You weak, infirm, uncertain as the earth,
And down your tubes the thrill of music wake.
Well, God preserve you!—and the Devil damn!—
And nettles strew the bosom of Abraham!

A Letter from London

EZRA POUND

I
SHOULD be very glad if someone in America could be made to realize
the sinister bearing of the import duty on books. I have tried in vain
to get some of my other correspondents to understand the effect of this in-
iquity . . . but apparently without success. It means insularity, stu-
pidity, backing the printer against literature, commerce and obstruction
against intelligence. I have spent myself on the topic so many times that
I am not minded to write an elaborate denunciation until I know I am
writing to someone capable of understanding and willing to take up the bat-
tle. Incidentally the life of a critical review depends a good deal on con-
troversy and on having some issue worth fighting. Henry IV. did away
with the black mediaevalism of an octroi on books, and the position of
Paris is not without its debt to that intelligent act. No country that needs
artificial aid in its competition with external intelligence is fit for any crea-
ture above the status of pig.

The tariff should be abolished not only for itself but because dishonest
booksellers shelter themselves behind it and treble the price of foreign books,
and because it keeps up the price of printing.

If there is one thing that we are all agreed upon: It is that the canned
goods of Curtis and Company and Harper and Company and all the busi-
ness firms should be set apart from the art of letters, and the artist helped against the tradesman.

As a matter of fact a removal of the tariff wouldn't much hurt even publishers, as the foreign books we really want in America are the sort which the greed of American business publishers forbids their publishing . . . but that is no matter.

It affects every young writer in America, and every reader whether he wish merely to train his perceptions or whether he train them with a purpose, of, say, learning what has been done, what need not be repeated, what is worthy of repetition. There is now the hideous difficulty of getting a foreign book, and the prohibitive price of both foreign and domestic publications. I don't know that I need to go on with it.

Again and yet again it is preposterous that our generation of writers shouldn't have the facility in getting at contemporary work, which one would have in Paris or Moscow. It's bad enough for the American to struggle against the dead-hand of the past generation composed of clerks and parasites and against our appalling decentralization, i. e., lack of metropoles and centers, having full publishing facilities and communication with the outer world—(which last is being slowly repaired)—also our scarcity of people who know.

When all the world goes mad, one must accept madness as sanity, since sanity is, in the last analysis, nothing but the madness on which the whole world happens to agree.—Bernard Shaw, 1916.
How he hates us, ordinary mortals! No, he seldom hates; he reserves his hatred for God, for life, for the universe. For us, weak bubbles driven on the surface by uncontrollable forces, he has only contempt. Yet, though hating and despising, he is infinitely dear to us: the thick melancholy vein that bulges across his wildcat forehead makes him almost human; the taut string of his remote harp vibrates at times with such yearning and pain that we feel nearly at home with that alien-on-earth, Mikhail Lermontov. We are glad with a petty gladness whenever we discover in him this weakness, his humaneness; we chuckle at the comfortable feeling of being able to observe him on the level plane, freed from the necessity of throwing our heads far back in order to perceive him on the lonely peak. He is our brother, we boast; and we inflict on him the severest punishment for a genius—forgiveness.

But his contemporaries could not forgive him. A general sigh of relief echoed the official announcement of his death “in a fearful storm accompanied by thunder and lightning on the Beshta mountain in the Caucasus”. “Bon voyage”, exclaimed Nicholas I, rubbing his hands in glee over the departure of one of his most undesirable subjects, the uncompromising mutineer. The church refused to bury the arrogant denier. Society applauded Major Martinov whose bullet snapped the life of the unapproachable aristocrat, the mocker of customs and conventions, the maimer of feminine hearts, the careless, fearless duellist who played with life, his own or that of others, as with a valueless toy. The people—there was not such a thing in Russia of 1841.

Society organism cannot digest a foreign element. We are too local in our terrestrial standards to tolerate an individual who is made not of the same stuff that we are made of. Lermontov was a child of a different planet who fell upon our earth by some crude mistake, doomed to chafe twenty-six years among humans. As a child he protested against the fatal misplacement; he discharged his venom in demolishing flower-beds, in torturing animals with tears in his eyes, in brandishing his tiny fists against his grandmother, when he observed her mistreating the serfs. When he grew up—and he grew up early: at ten he loved a girl; at fifteen he conceived his
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greatest poems, *Mtzyri* and *Demon*—his protest had calmed down. He no longer wept or raged—he hated God and despised mankind. His contemporaries tell us that no one could stand his heavy penetrating look. Men hated and feared him; women hated and loved him, as they always do extraordinary things. Lermontov took revenge for his accidental association with mankind; he left behind him a long row of broken hearts and wounded ambitions. His rebellious spirit sought rest in chaos, in torturing others and himself, in creating around him an atmosphere of tragedy, in reckless fighting with the wild Caucasian mountaineers.

And he, the mutinous, seeks storm,
As if in storm he may find peace.

Pechorin, the hero of his autobiographical sketches collected in *A Hero of Our Time*, is the first Nietzschean in literature. His terse, unpretentious maxims and paradoxes have been re-echoed by Dostoevsky, Nietzsche, Przybyszewski, and other writers of the superman-literature. As always is the case with deliberate or unconscious commentators, they liquefy the original. One carelessly dropped sentence of Lermontov is elaborated in tons of Dostoevsky’s gallous psychology, in mountains of Nietzsche’s brain-splittering philosophy, in cognac-oceans of the vivisectionist-Przybyszewski. Pechorin does not talk much; he is too aristocratic for extravagance in words. Pechorin does not compromise; he is not made of that stuff. He neither repents nor seeks atonement; in his hatred for reality he does not erect a consoling phantom in the image of a Superman; he would dismiss with a contemptible shrug Falk’s matrimonial and sexual tribulations. Pechorin is eternally alone. Those who approach him are scorched with his unhuman flame. Alone, in the steppe, after a mad ride which kills his horse, Pechorin hugs the soil and weeps “like a child”. Like a child pressing to its mother’s bosom, plaintively demanding the Why and the Wherefore of existence among strangers. Shall we chuckle at the suddenly-discovered weakness of our enemy? Or shall we modestly turn away our eyes from the stolen sight of a god in his nudity?

I once called Lermontov a sorrowful demon. Not a Lucifer, not a Mephistopheles, but a Russian demon, as the sculptor Antokolsky conceived him. Lermontov—Demon—Pechorin, a quaint superman, neither god nor devil, a pluralistic being, a combination of cruelty and compassion, of contempt and sympathy, of cynicism and sentimentalism, of the loftiest and the basest, of the unhuman and of the human-all-too-human. Dostoevsky?
The Poet Speaks

MARGARET C. ANDERSON

THERE are people in the world who like poetry if they know the poet. There are a good many people in Chicago just now who understand and enjoy Amy Lowell's poetry because she read it to them at the Little Theatre.

I know a poet who could make nothing of Vachel Lindsay's things until Lindsay chanted them to him one day. And I know another who said to me, when I remarked that I didn't like Alfred Kreymborg's verse, "Oh, but you would if you knew him." I am puzzled, because I know this man to be an intelligent being. And somehow I have always been under the naive impression that poetry was a matter of art.

But there are worse things. There is one type of person we always eject promptly from the office of THE LITTLE REVIEW. He is the person who says that Amy Lowell's poetry has no feeling in it. Now please listen: I want to quote you something. It is called *Vernal Equinox*, it was written by Miss Lowell, and it appeared in the September issue of Poetry; but I want to see it put down in these pages so that we may actually know it has been in THE LITTLE REVIEW:

The scent of hyacinths, like a pale mist, lies between me
and my book;
And the South Wind, washing through the room,
Makes the candles quiver;
My nerves sting at a spatter of rain on the shutter,
And I am uneasy at the bursting of green shoots
Outside, in the night.

Why are you not here to overpower me with your tense
and urgent love?

A poet whose new book will soon be talked of said to me, when I showed this to him, "Yes, it's very clever, but it has no feeling." He left the office gladly in three minutes.

Still there are worse things. *The Chicago Tribune* sent a reporter to the Little Theatre to hear Miss Lowell read and to record his impres-
tion of her work and personality for those who still peruse the newspapers. You may have seen the reporter's article.

And still worse? . . . Lots of people have been splitting hairs over Amy Lowell's work, but no human being has been heard to remark: "A beautiful thing is happening in America. Amy Lowell is writing poetry for us."

Poems*

ELIZABETH GIBSON CHEYNE

The Cry

Whenever there is silence around me,
By day or by night,
I am startled by the cry
"Take me down from the cross!"
The first time I heard it
I went out and searched
Till I found a man in the throes of crucifixion,
And I said, "I will take you down."
And I tried to take the nails out of his feet,
But he said "Let be;
For I cannot be taken down
Till every man, every woman, and every child
Come together to take me down."
And I said, "But I cannot bear your cry—
What can I do?"
And he said "Go about the world,
Telling everyone you meet
'There is a man upon the cross.'"
The Excuse

I go about the world
Telling all the rich,
And all the happy, and all the comfortable,
"There is a man upon the cross."
But they all say
"We are sure you are mistaken;
There was a man upon the cross
Two thousand years ago;
But he died, and was taken down
And was decently buried;
And a miracle happened,
So that he rose again
And ascended into Heaven,
And is happy evermore."
Still I go about the world saying
"There is a man upon the cross."

The Cross

Any groveller
May be straightened by a cross
If he lies down upon it at night,
And sleeps upon it with outstretched arms;
If he rises in the morning,
And shoulders it bravely,
Neither resenting it
Nor being ashamed of it,
He will find that he can bring his eyes
To look upon life
Instead of upon the grave,
And that he will even be able
To lift them to the stars;
And that he can live
On the levels he is able to look upon.

*I do not know whether these poems have been published elsewhere or not. They were read by Ellen Gates Starr in a mass meeting in Kent Theatre on the University of Chicago campus—a mass meeting in protest against police brutality during the garment strike.*
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What Then—?

R. G.

There are signs of life at the Art Institute. In throwing out Charles Kinney, it stated the case against itself more emphatically than Kinney ever could have done. When an "institution" becomes violent over criticism there is too much work for one reformer.

This seems to have been a season for things Art to be stating the case against themselves. At the last meeting of the Chicago Society of Artists, when there was a slight murmur of dissatisfaction with the management of the Institute, one of the older men quickly reminded the painters that they were but guests of the Institute—and there was silence. Art has come by hard ways, but never to worse than this:—the guest of the Corn Exchange Bank!

Again at a meeting for the formation of the new Arts Club, before the matter of the Club could be discussed there had to be a speech assuring the Art Institute that the artists would never, in any way, ever do anything on their own, but would always conform to the ideas of the directors of the Institute. But where they really proved themselves was at the annual dinner, at the opening of the Chicago Artists' Exhibition. Herded into a room they meekly submitted to oyster stew and a speech by a minister of the Gospel. Artists! That is their case as stated by themselves.

Kinney blames the directors pro tem., and the Dean, for the "factory system" in the school. Knowing that all the small towns in the West and Middle West having any kind of an Art School pattern after the Art Institute, he is excited and fears the factory system will prevail everywhere. But he might have hope that here and there accidentally a few artists may get mixed up among the other students and frustrate this plan.

It would be interesting to know whether the administration by its methods has so completely discouraged artists that they no longer seek the Art Institute as a place of study, or whether the administration is simply changing its methods to meet the demands of the kind of student now attending the Institute.

This much is certain: no administration could take away every ancient perogative of art students; lead them gently into organization; impose discipline upon them; and appoint God a chaperone over their play—in fact make a crèche of the school—if there were any of the stuff in them of which artists are made.
There always has been a fight on the part of the school to get what it wanted from the directors; but things can be done. Read the list of "illustrious names" of visiting instructors, years ago, and then compare the student roll of the same time. Once the Art Institute was an art school with art students, who were artists, who in spite of everything led the life of artists, knew the analogy between painting and the other Arts, swarmed to concerts and the theatres, and created their own atmosphere. That was the time when Bernhardt came to the school in her yellow-wheeled carriage and walked down a double line of quaking, adoring art students. And when Calvé came to sing . . . How many students there now know these names, know anything beyond fashion drawing?

They have indicted themselves. If there were artists the Art Institute could seek exhibitions. If there were art students we could have an art school, not a "factory." And if the directors of the Art Institute and its patrons really wanted Art, and the directors would throw the Institute open to all kinds of exhibitions, we might even in time find Art.

German Poetry

WILLIAM SAPHIER

Earned essays on this or that poetry make little red devils dance in my brain and my right hand reach for a Japanese sword. They are invariably inferior to the spirit, and occupy only a small section of the horizon of their subject. I have translated these three poems because I felt that they were as good or better than the best things published in this country, and because so little is known of this kind of German poetry here. The first is by Julius Berstl and the second two are by Fritz Schnack. I know of many more, but I am unable to get their work just now. As you perhaps know, they are engaged at present in a different direction.

Highland

(From the German of Julius Berstl)

Early light reflexes climb with rose fingers up the cliffs. The chilly valley slumbers and cowers in its white fog bed, But nude and cool, unearthly fine and clear, Glitter the glacier chains.
The morning wind faint-heartedly plays a lyre,  
No bird strikes screaming through the distance;  
It is as if the sound of a timid harp  
Spreads with bird-like wings  
Along the stone cliffs and over the valley.

And now, as if breathed by the fragrance and dew,  
Out of fog blossoms a wreath of meadows;  
Behind them blooms a crystal glacier blue,  
And a dream-laden delicate purple grey  
Plays all around the giant mountains.

Young Days  
(From the German of Fritz Schnack)

Soft, delicate morning air ripplings  
Sway between the willow bushes  
Rustling, as if a woman in silk ruchings  
Passes over the meadows . . .  
Without end and blessedly far  
Purls the cajoling sweetness.
O! how anxiously do I bear this air.  
Like chords from the cloudland  
Fall the deep shining days  
Resounding in my trembling hand.

One Morning  
(From the German of Fritz Schnack)

The light,  
Flows spring-like out of the night,  
And the big splashing wave  
Spreads over the earth's surface . . .  
White villas glisten in the light  
Glowing all around with red roses;  
Laughing young beauty blooms  
On every threshold . . .

At a distance I stand and watch  
And think: whoever thus can build . . .  
And longingly go my way.
An Isaiah Without A Christ

And the word of the Lord came unto me, saying, Son of Man, prophesy, and say thou unto them that prophesy out of their own hearts, hear ye the word of the Lord; thus sayeth the Lord God: woe unto the foolish prophets that follow their own spirit and have seen nothing. O Israel, thy prophets are like foxes in the desert.—Ezekiel 13:1-4.

CHARLES ZWASKA

I.

AND the youth returned to his village and found it vile. In the City he had seen visions of what a town might be . . . Nicholas Vachel Lindsay had been studying Art in Chicago and on his return to Springfield published, in the fall of 1910, The Village Magazine: a scattering of verse, prose, sketches, and ornamental designs and propaganda. “Talent for poetry, deftness in inscribing, and skill in mural painting were probably gifts of the same person”, he tells us later, in speaking of the ancient Egyptians. “Let us go back”—the village must be redeemed. The first editorial in the magazine was On Conversion. The people of Springfield “should build them altars to the unknown God, the radiant one; He whom they radiantly worship should be declared unto them in His fullness.” The next was An Editorial on Beauty for the Village Pastor—it expressed the belief that the Sunday-school, the Christian Endeavor Society, the Brotherhood, Anti-Saloon League, and the Woman’s Aid were the forces that were to bring about beauty. Springfield was to be the new Athens! A broadside was distributed throughout the village: The Soul of the City receives the gift of the Holy Spirit:

Builders, toil on,
Make all complete.
Make Springfield wonderful
Make her renown
Worthy this day,
Till, at God’s feet—
(Etc., the poetry of the thing will not be spoiled by omitting some lines here.)
Verses like the above aside, here was revealed to us a poet; the foundations were laid, it seemed, for a future. But the youth did dream and see visions. Much was said about Utopias and the New Jerusalem, and poetry languished in the youth that he might materialize some ultimate world state. The most inexcusable optimism of them all—"Rome was not built in a day." True, but it was built: not merely talked about or prophesied. And the youth remembered not that it hath been said in Isaiah: "For, behold, I create a new heaven and a new earth: and the former shall not be remembered nor come into mind." Yet the youth remembered the former still and did say much about the recoming of those civilizations which had been, at last to stay forever! His day, or the great poet who proceeded him by but a few years, he seemed to notice not:

What do you think endures?
Do you think a great city endures? ...
Away! these are not to be cherished in themselves,
They fill their hour, the dancers dance, the musicians play for them,
The show passes, all does well enough of course,
All does very well till one flash of defiance ...
A great city is that which has the greatest men and women;
If it be a few ragged huts it is still the greatest city in the whole world.

But the youth was at heart the poet, the dreamer, attempting to convince by arguments, similes, rhymes; not as the great Poet, by mere presence! Nor could he stand the offer of rough new prizes, preferring the smooth old prizes. He clung to the organizations of the day, and to augment their "influence toward the Millennium" he published The Village Magazine. That, gentle reader, was in 1910.

II.

In the year 1912 there went forth from Springfield this same lad. Into the West he went—through Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and into New Mexico. He went preaching a gospel,—his own "Gospel of Beauty." His sustenance he earned by reciting his own rhymes to those who were willing, in exchange, to give him bread. Thus did he make us uncomfortably imagine him a new John the Baptist, Francois Villon, or even Saint Frances of Assissi. ... In the year 1914 his account of this adventure was published. Three rhymes, he claims, contained his "theory of American civilization." This is from one of them:
O you who lose the art of hope,
Turn to the little prairie towns,
Your high hope shall yet begin.
On every side awaits you there
Some gate where glory enters in.

And "At the end of the Road"—by faith and a study of the signs—he proclaimed the New Jerusalem for America, particularly for his home-village. . . . Now, there is a peculiar value attached to this journey—the influence on the poet, not the preacher's influence on the people. It was after this trip that we got The Santa Fé Trail, The Fireman's Ball, written in a style in which were later written The Chinese Nightingale and The Congo. And, because of the relation of its style to these, we even judge I heard Emmanuel Singing a good thing. This, then, is Lindsay's importance among us; his contribution of this style of vaudeville chanting. This is the poet. He does not count when writing Galahad, Knight Who Perished, King Arthur's Men Have Come Again, Incense, Springfield Magical, or declaring "by faith and a study of the signs."

III.

On November first, 1915, at Springfield, Illinois, Vachel Lindsay signed a book on The Art of the Moving Picture. The last chapter was called "The Acceptable Year of the Lord." From having seen forecastings in photoplay hieroglyphics the children in times-to-come can rise and say: "This day is the scripture fulfilled in your ears":

Scenario writers, producers, photoplay actors, endowers of exquisite films, sects using special motion pictures for a predetermined end, all you who are taking the work as a sacred trust, I bid you God-speed. Consider what it will do to your souls, if you are true to your trust . . . The record of your ripeness will be found in your craftsmanship. You will be God's thoroughbreds.

It has come then, this new weapon of men, and the face of the whole earth changes. In after centuries its beginning will be indeed remembered.

It has come, this new weapon of men, and by faith and a study of the signs we proclaim that it will go on and on in immemorial wonder.

This, then, is the prophecy, and thus has he proclaimed it: "By my hypothesis, Action pictures are sculpture-in-motion, Intimate Pictures are paintings-in-motion, Splendour Pictures are architecture-in-motion . . .
The rest of the work is a series of after thoughts and speculations not brought forward so dogmatically."

Now, the Arts are complete in themselves; they contain all. The moving picture has come to be a parasite on them.

Sculpture has become a vital thing to this age because of August Rodin. Muenier has moved us too. Also Monolo and Fagi. Now comes Lindsay: "I desire for the moving picture not the stillness but the majesty of sculpture . . . Not the mood of Venus de Milo, but let us turn to that sister of hers—the great Victory of Samothrace".

. . . I have seen much of Lindsay's advice followed word for word since this book of his was published. Tyrone Power in *The Dream of Eugene Araam*. Power's face and figure were more majestic on the stage than in this picture. There was a "sculpture-group," as you would call it, in this picture—a farmer and two squires on a hilltop. It was in silhouette, a sketch and not sculpture. The nearest I have seen to the majesty and immobility of sculpture, marble or otherwise, was the head of William S. Hart in *The Aryan*. The picture was shadowed so as to center on his poetic face, the fascination of which none but Forbes Robertson's has. Hart's face on the screen, his eyes looking into the eyes of you, at his throat a handkerchief of white—a bust by an artist indeed! But the shadows parted, and the hieroglyphic-crowded background came into view. Hart's head moved, became part of a moving picture and sculpture was no more. The moment was worth it—but it moved . . . "Moving pictures are pictures and not sculpture", says Lorado Taft in a public statement, objecting to Lindsay's phrase. "To a sculptor the one thing cherished as most essential to his art is its static quality, its look of absolute quiesence. It is the hint of eternity which marks and makes all monumental art" . . . Has Lindsay no feeling for sculpture?

Frank Lloyd Wright has models in plaster of some of his buildings—"modern" skyscrapers, hotels, and homes, growing, rising upward, white and beautiful. It was these works of architecture which called forth the phrase "flowers in stone". He alone, it seems, has made art of architecture in our day. He objects to Lindsay saying his art can be that of moving pictures; its very literalness, its actualness being the very negation of the soul and constitution of art. In *The Dumb Girl of Portici* the Smalleys, as inspired as any of the producers, used the entire Field Museum in Jackson Park, Chicago, as a background for a pageant of Italian royalty, of the middle ages. Insisting on architecture can spoil pictures. It did this one.

Painting-in-motion—rhythm. Rhythm seems alien to the application of the theory of jerky fade-away close-ups. "Intimate Dutch interior" scenes fading into the close-up and then back into the entire scene again. Intimate, friendly, and moving, but lacking in rhythm and the flow of nat-
uralness. Some think that "moving lines", made an art in themselves, will be an achievement of the moving film. Have you ever been struck dumb by the lines made by a dancer across the stage, the moving of life across life? I have seen it in the moving-picture only in the flight of gulls (unconscious actors) or in pictures of rivers and trees and the sea; in short—nature. But nature is nature. The painter's art! Botticelli's *Spring*, or *The Birth of Venus*—pictures containing the essence of rhythmic natural movement. Never yet have the movies given us this. If Lindsay must prophesy and "take the masses back to art" there are artists living today—who are for today. Lindsay seems to know nothing of them. His knowledge of painting seems to have stopped with his art school days. The later work of Jerome Blum, for example, has this movement, this rhythm, not only in composition and line but in the color as well. Reds and greens and blues that vibrate, paintings that live.

The rest of this might be entitled: "An open letter to Vachel Lindsay", for it is "not so dogmatically set forth" and is mere man-to-man talk.

I have seen most of your suggestions swallowed whole by moving-picture makers. . . . Your hieroglyphics idea—well, James Oppenheim was an accomplice in that. "On Coming Forth by Day" or your suggestion to use the Book of the Dead—a Chicago woman, the patient, too-patient, beautifully reverent Lou Wall Moore has been working for years on an adaptation of one of the books which, when it does appear on the stage, will have more rhythm and terrible swiftness than ever your moving picture could, the splendor of color, space, height, distance, and most magical of all, the voice:

*Priest*: Men pass away since the time of Ra
And the youths come in their stead.
As Ra reappears every morning
And Tum sets in the west,
Men are begetting and women conceiving;
Each nostril inhales once the breeze of the dawn;
But all born of women go down to their places.

As for your "too ruthless a theory" of having silence in the theatre, or rather just the hum of conversation, let me tell you of the "midnight-movies" in our town: Can you imagine a crowd of people standing in line outside a theatre at one or a quarter after in the morning? And inside an audience—or optience?!—which for interest and variety can equal any of the moving-pictures shown or yet to be shown. I wish you could hear the ludicrous, cutting, knowing remarks made by these people about your pictures, when, after twelve-thirty the piano stops, and the oppressive
silence outweighs the interest of the picture. (The piano formerly stopped
at eleven, but the management decided that the only way to maintain order
was to keep the piano going.) Well, the silence never lasts: snoring,
wheezing, roaring, shouting and laughing and calls for “Silence”, “Wake
up, the rest of us wanna sleep”, “You’re off key”, or “What time shall I
call, sir?” These people are here: business men; newsboys, hobos, drunks,
who sleep here all night; salesmen; night clerks; telegraphers; bell-boys;
hotel and restaurant maids; scrub-women; actors; vaudevillains; cabaret
singers; pressmen; newspapermen; chauffeurs, teamsters; traveling men;
gentlemen of leisure; painted youths and scented women. They “get” the
psychology of the pictures. Helen’s hazards call forth telegraph tappings
to each other; close-ups showing jealousy, rage, or overdone emotion get
“woof-woofs” and howls and hoots; the murder prevented “just in time”
gets its sarcasms; and “immoral situations” their due appreciation. But—
this, which seemed on the way to become our most individual phase of
night-life, is passing. The jolly manager, who passed up and down the
aisle like a hen among her brood, keeping us awake until one o’clock, has
been replaced by a uniformed policeman; the council has legislated women
out after two o’clock; and a “ride in the wagon” or ejection faces the one
who would “get gay”. Now, as a place of interest, it is passing in this
day of short-lived gayety and censored originality. The Law, Lindsay, will
not allow your plan to work. In the neighborhoods?—the audiences them-
selves do not know why they are there. Why disturb them?

Your educational film also I have seen applied. Saved From the
Flames worked out in co-operation with the New York Fire department.
It teaches a lesson. So does The Human Cauldron—your own phrase, I
believe, taken from the first line of page forty-two, your book. This pic-
ture was done with the aid of the New York Police department. Both
were stupid, inane in story and treatment, and on the whole a bore. Even
Walthall couldn’t save The Raven from cardboard clouds and angels and
“visions”.

Your scenario, the “second cousin to the dream that will one day come
forth”, seems quite symbolic of your prophecies. Pallas Athena, Jeanne
D’Arc, and Our Lady Springfield; a treeless hill top in Washington Park:
this then is the rank of the Goddesses. Springfield is to have secular
priests and her patriots are irresolute! “Without prophecy there can be
no fulfillment. Without Isaiah there can be no Christ”.—A truly Christian
interpretation of the Hebrew’s great Isaiah, to whom Christ was but a
desciple! But so you will have it . . . We need Isaias and John
the Baptists, but they were prophets and fore-runners of a Christ, a per-
sonality—not a Utopia, World State or International Brotherhood. If
you appear before us as an Isaiah we demand to hear of your Christ.
You recognize the demand of Confucius for rectification of names. Do you realize Nietzsche's transvaluations for our day? Faith as opposed to affirmation! Zarathustra has spoken! There is now the mountain peak—and you are still rhyming about a hill top.

Announcements

"The Weavers"

GERHARDT HAUPTMANN'S Weavers is coming to Chicago! It begins a limited engagement at the Princess Theatre Sunday night, April 2. If you don't go—well, we will pray for you.

It is to be the same production with which Emanuel Reicher stirred New York this winter. Mr. Reicher is no longer with the company, having finally given up the struggle of trying to make a financial success of art and truth. His stage director, Augustin Duncan, who is a man of vision and ability, has formed the actors into a co-operative company, and they have been struggling through various cities where their efforts have been intensely though not largely appreciated. This is to be expected; but surely in Chicago they ought to find an audience.

P. S.—Since I wrote the above The Weavers has opened, and I have heard how the first-night audience laughed where it should have applauded and guffawed when it should have recognized something fine.

Margaret Sanger in Chicago

THERE is an announcement on the cover page of two of Margaret Sanger's lectures in Chicago, and others may be arranged after she gets here. We have got into the habit of looking upon birth control as a thing in which everybody believes, and which almost everybody practices whether they believe in it or not. It seems quite superfluous to keep on talking about it. But then you remember that Emma Goldman has been arrested for talking about it, and that when her trial comes up—some time this month or in May—it is quite within the possibilities that she may spend a year in prison for her crime. That is something none of us could face without a kind of insanity. So please don't be content with merely
abusing the government: send your protests to the District Attorney and it may help a great deal.

Any one who wishes to arrange for further lectures by Mrs. Sanger may write to Fania Mindell, care THE LITTLE REVIEW.

**The Rupert Brooke Memorial**

It has been decided to set up in Rugby Chapel, England, a memorial of Rupert Brooke in the form of a portrait-medallion in marble. The medallion will be the work of Professor J. Havard Thomas, and is to be based on the portrait by Schell. Contributions not exceeding five dollars may be sent to Maurice Browne, Chicago Treasurer, Rupert Brooke Memorial Fund, 434 Fine Arts Building, Michigan Avenue, and will be sent to England without deduction. Money left over after the completion of the medallion will be given to the Royal Literary Fund.” Mr. Browne adds that the nickels and dimes of those who wish to make their offering, but cannot afford the larger sum, will be welcomed in the spirit of their giving; also that he believes there are many admirers of Rupert Brooke and his work in Chicago who will welcome the opportunity to pay in some measure their debt to the poet, particularly remembering that this city stimulated and interested him more than any other in America.

**Jerome Blum's New Work**

Beginning April 15 Mr. Blum will have a two-weeks' exhibit of paintings done on a recent trip through China and Japan, at O'Brien's Art Galleries, 334 South Michigan Avenue. At the same time Mrs. Blum will exhibit some Chinese and Japanese figures—and there is one especially that we prophesy will be talked of. It is of a weary-eyed Chinese philosopher, the art of which has been put into words by a painter: “He has seen everything, so he doesn’t look any more; he has done everything—so he folds his hands.”
The Vers Libre Prize Contest

Two of the judges for our contest have been chosen. They will be Helen Hoyt and Zöe Aikens. The third will be announced in the next issue, and the contest will be continued until August 15, as it seems wiser not to close it before it has been fully heralded. All details will be found on page 40.

"A Lost Tune"

Between April 25 and May 7 Mr. Stanislaw Saukalski will give our soft teeth a chance to crack a hard nut at the Art Institute. The "Lost Tune" will lead the flaming lava of this young volcano. Will the readers of The Little Review send in their impressions of this sculptor's work? We may print some of them.—L. de B.

When You Buy Books—

Won't readers remember to order their books through the Gotham Book Society? You can get any book you want from them, whether it is listed in their advertisement or not, and The Little Review makes a percentage on the sales. Our margin of profit per book is small, but it all helps very much and the continuation of the magazine depends upon just such co-operation. We have two thousand subscribers. If each one of them would order one dollar's worth of books a month we should make about two hundred dollars out of it,—which would pay for two issues of the magazine and enable us to eat regularly besides. Will you please remember?
The Russian Literature Group

ALEXANDER KAUN'S next lecture on Russian Literature will be on Dostoevsky, and will be given April 16, at 8:30 P. M., in 612 Fine Arts Building. Mr. Kaun is becoming more interesting with each lecture—by which I mean that he is revealing more of Kaun the artist, and less of Kaun the professor.

Independent Society of Artists

THE first international exhibition of this new organization will be held on April 4 in the Ohio Building, Wabash Avenue and Congress Street, from three to seven P. M.

"Because of the War"—

PAPER is going up. We can't help looking ugly this month.

The Beautiful and the Terrible. Which is which will never be put into words. But I am free to tell myself; and let me but preserve the senses—my eyes, my ears, my touch, and all shall be well—all shall seem far more beautiful than terrible—Gordon Craig.

Only fanaticism is possible for phlegmatic natures.—Nietzsche.
BURST of passion in a pagan god's eye was the sunrise as I saw it from the top of Mount Rose one morning last summer. Trembling and with squinting eyes I looked at the grand spectacle, fearing to go blind if I opened my eyes.

The sun stretched its arms and with flaming fingers lifted the bluish-grey blanket from the Nevada hills and the Truckee Valley. Feeling that the beauty of this moment could not be surpassed, I turned my face toward California and ran down the western side of Mount Rose.

One day last week when the massive shoulders of Jerome Blum stepped in between me and a canvas that had transformed his studio into a strange land for me, I wanted to hold his hands for fear the next canvas would take the joy produced by the one in front of me. He came back from an eight-months' trip through Japan and China recently, and he brought with him over twenty paintings with pulsating nature and unrestrained joy in every one of them. The rhythmic lines dance through the curling roofs and weird trees—and all of them are bathed in sunshine. At the same time they are a close study of this strange land, its people and their habits, by a forceful and unusual artist—a man who says "yes" to nature in no uncertain terms. His bold colors are handled in a most sensitive manner, and when I wanted to place him among the Chicago artists I found that he belongs to an entirely different class and could not even be compared to some of the vacillating and doubtful men who paint in this town.

He has a portrait of a Chinese girl in a green gown, and some scenes along a canal and in a Chinese garden, that have tempted my usually honest mind to some queer contemplations. I have found myself wandering to the windows and other unusual entrances to his studio, figuring out how one might find access to that place without a key and at a certain dark hour. I have only one hope left now of owning one in a figurative way, and it is that the trustees of the Art Institute may see the light and...

I hope Jerome Blum will not be compelled, like some of the best men this country has produced, to go to other shores to gain the recognition due a man of his ability. A few weeks ago I saw one of the older trustees spend considerable time before a canvas by a Boston painter that lacked all that goes to make a work of art,—a canvas on which the artist, with the aid of a pointed stick, had tried to prod his dead and
colorless paint into some kind of motion. In spite of this I still believe that they will rise to the high intellectual and artistic understanding that they are supposed to possess, but which they have failed to display up to the present, as far as modern art is concerned.

It is impossible for me to describe any of Blum's canvases except to say that they tear you away from the dirty grey and ill-smelling Chicago, to a country you have seen in your dreams as a child. We will have a chance to see this artist's work, beginning April fifteenth, at O'Brien's, on Michigan Boulevard.

Lucille Swan Blum will exhibit at the same time and place some very graceful Japanese dancers, Chinese children, Corean, Chinese, and Japanese mothers with their babies and other far-eastern types. Best of all is a Chinese philosopher, reduced almost to design to emphasize the idea of the age and wisdom of this people—folded hands, an emotionless face, all seeing eyes.

In the end one experienceth nothing but himself.—Nietzsche.
(A scattering of words anent Washington Square, "Henry VIII", Yvette Guilbert, "The Merry Wives of Windsor", and sundry other things and people, as far as space and time allow.)

ALLAN ROSS MACDOUGALL

FROM my garret window I look out on Washington Square. Snow and ice still lie there, and the trees are black and mean.

On the first page of his new book, *Moby Lane and Thereabouts*, Neil Lyons says: "Spring has many ushers, and is heralded by divers signs. Some people look for these signs among the hedgerows; others seek them in the sky, or listen for them in the night, whilst other people neither look or listen, but go smelling about, or stand upon hill-tops, tasting." My sign shall be, I think, the grimy trees of the Square. And sometimes as I sit here looking out on the icy barrenness I wonder if, when Spring's breath does touch the earth, whether flowers will come up—flowers that I long to see: crocuses, anemones, daffodils. It's all very well to see them in shop windows, but God! to see them come up out of the earth and unfold! But I fear our Square is too sophisticated. I know a man will come—a common tobacco-chewing man with a stunted soul who belongs to a Union and gets paid so much coin by the hour—and he will arrange squares, and oblongs, and diamond shaped plots of earth. Then will he proceed laboriously and without joy to stick tulips or some other straight official flower into these geometrical, soulless patterns. And throughout the year in the Square, nature will be kept in bounds and orders.

"Henry VIII"

It seems scarcely possible that Sir Herbert Tree would have the calm artistic audacity to come to this country and present his production of "Henry VIII" in the moth-eaten scenery and costumes that were used in the London production in the year 1910. Yet he did, and oh! the wearisome drab antiquity of it all! But the "People" liked it and gave the be-knighted actor-manager "one of the greatest premieres that New York has witnessed these many years".

Mention is made in the programme of "the inspiring aerchiological advice" of Percy Macquoid, R.I. The advice may have been quite inspiring. I do not doubt it. But the results of that advice! That medley of costumes! Those photo scenes of Windsor Castle and Blackfriars Hall and
Westminster Abbey! They were bad when first conceived and painted, and five years in a London storeroom has not improved them to any degree compatible with their presentation to an audience that has looked upon the work of Baskt, Urban, Jones, Sime, and Rothenstein.

And what can be said of the lighting? There was one comic spotlight that followed Sir Herbert (or ought I to say Wolsey? I hardly know; they were never quite distinct) around the stage like a little motherless puppy. Sometimes it went before, sometimes it frisked after, on the tail of his magnificent scarlet gown. It had a grand time! But it never seemed to be doing the thing it ought to be doing.

But let me not bore you as these things bored me. Pass we now to the acting. In London the honors of the play were carried off by Arthur Bouchier as Henry, and his wife, Violet Vanburgh, as Katherine. A repetition was performed here. Lyn Harding as Henry, and Edith Wynne Matheson as Katherine, carried every one before them. And Tree? Well, he had his moments. There was his superb entrance with the look he flashed at Buckingham: fine too was the acting in the scene of his downfall. Between these two highlights such ordinary acting has seldom been seen in a man of Tree's reputation. In a cold classic way Miss Matheson was splendid. I liked her much, and but for her some of the scenes in the play would have been colourless. There was the usual mob of superns who got caught in doorways and tripped over furniture, but on the whole they behaved as well as an ordinary stage manager can make such people behave.

Yvette Guilbert

Five years ago I saw Yvette Guilbert in London. I loved her all. Her red hair; the skinny arms of her, clothed in long black gloves; and her Gallic body with the low-necked white crinoline that gowned it. And how she sang! And her acting! For five years I have carried the memory of her around with me, matching other people up with her but never finding her equal. On Sunday, March nineteenth, I saw her again. The black gloves and the white crinoline were gone, and she had grown a little stouter. The red hair was there, and the smile. Her voice had changed a bit and her personality had mellowed. She sang songs that were grave and moving, like Fiona Macleod's Prayer of Women, and others that were gay and jocular, like The Curé Servant. But whatever she sang—and I didn't know a word of what she sang—carried me away completely. Not a mood did I miss—not a suggestion of a mood. Perfect is her art. She has my adoration.

"The Merry Wives of Windsor"

The latest addition to the Shakespeare Festival that is being thrust upon the apathetic people of this place is the Hackett-Allen production of
The Merry Wives of Windsor. Three things can be said without any further comment. Joseph Urban did the stage settings. Richard Ordynski directed the production. Willy Pogany designed the costumes. Gordon Craig says somewhere that any medium is easier to work in than human beings. After seeing the work expended on The Merry Wives of Windsor by three geniuses, and watching the actors in that play, we understand completely.

Soulless New York

Witter Bynner, grown somber and blase,—the effect of living in soulless New York, he says—has become a sort of Greek Chorus to me. In various strophes with divers variations, in sundry public and private places, he chants the dismal fact that New York is soulless and that there is a danger of it robbing me of my joy in life. Not while its streets remain as they are will I lose the joy I possess! I cannot remember any city that I have been in where my sense of the comic has been tickled so often by happenings in the streets. So many comedies are enacted by the curbstone, so many quaintly funny things happen every hour on the streets, that it would be impossible for me to forget how jolly life really is. Of course I see tragedies too, but they seem to be there only for the purpose of balance!

For some time to come I'll Dalcroze down the avenues and numerical by-ways of this "soulless" city. And my smile will always be handy; and my whistle wet, ready to pipe Gathering Peascods or The Parson's Farewell or anything merry and bright to dance to.

To save the theatre, the theatre must be destroyed, the actors and actresses must all die of the plague. They poison the air, they make art impossible. It is not drama they play, but pieces for the theatre. We should return to the Greeks, play in the open air; the drama dies of stalls and boxes and evening dress, and people who come to digest their dinner.—Elenora Duse.
The Theatre

"Overtones"

Alice Gertsenberg, who dramatized *Alice in Wonderland*, wrote *Overtones*, evidently as an experiment, and had it produced in New York. Now it is crowding vaudeville houses. As an experiment only is it important. Cyril Harcourt intends collaborating with Miss Gertsenberg to produce a three-act play on the same lines: characters being followed by their "real selves", veiled, with voices confused. A Shaw play might be done this way—it is a method effective for moralizing and bringing home a point. But why would Darling Dora need an overtone or an undertone; or Blancco Posnet or Fanny's Father? If there is any reason for the dramatic presentation of characters at all it is the drama of themselves—their actions and their thoughts as opposed to those of others. . . . Imagine Rebecca West being followed through three acts by a "real self"; or Ulric Brendel—". . . I am homesick for the mighty nothingness".

"The New Manner"

*(Vague Questionings)*

It evidently means—this phrase—"that which is accepted as new" . . . There are signs of our dangerously settling down to flat brilliant backgrounds, spots of vivid color, and much mention of "important as decoration". It seems an unhealthy acquiescence . . . "Is desire a thing of nothing, that a five-years' quest can make a parody of it? Your whole life is not too long, and then only at the very end will some small atom of what you have desired come to you."—Gordon Craig in his *Art of the Theatre*. It looks as if we are due for a period of the old, old, three-walled room with the new, new, "new" color . . . I don't believe we will find the future in Michael Carr's butterfly proscenium and moving-picture screen shadows; but, surely, it is not *The Man Who Married a Dumb Wife*, or *Androcles and the Lion*, although Barker's *Midsummer Night's Dream* costumes are the most far-reaching originalities yet seen. Nor will it be like *A Pair of Silk Stockings*, *The Sabine Women*, *Overtones*, *The Charity that Began at Home*, *The Taming of the Shrew*, nor Urban and his present enormous New York output of "designs" and "follies". Our only light seems to come from Gordon Craig's work in Florence. "In his work is the incalculable element; the element that comes of itself and cannot be coaxed into coming". Or from Sam Hume's enthui-
siasm over the "Dome"; Reinhardt, of course, has almost acquired his permanent "angle of repose"—the newness of the American stage being, in fact, the Reinhardt of yesterday. If I had my way, I'd destroy all books about the theatre excepting those of Gordon Craig, for inspiration, or those of Arthur Symons for appreciation... Then, perhaps, we should begin to understand the Theatre.

Bernhardt on Reinhardt

Sarah Bernhardt has been playing a patriotic play, *Les Cathedrales*, in London. "It is such a great play I intend taking it into the provinces and then back to London again", she says. We have said it is a patriotic play; nothing more need be said. Bernhardt plays one of the seven cathedrals, *Strasburg*. In the interview, quoted above, given to the London magazine, *Drawing*, Bernhardt has also this to say: "And now, it seems to me that artists in the Allied Countries, and also authors, painters, composers, and all those concerned in the theatre have to bind themselves into a league for removing all traces of German nature and influence from our plays and theatres... Now the German showman Reinhardt flooded Paris and London with the Berliner deluge of the spectacular. He claims artistic superiority on the grounds of having introduced several novel trivialities. But to trace the real curve of truth I must say that he did nothing of the kind. He merely revived, in *Sumurun* and *Oedipus Rex*, certain outworn conventions which existed before his time! But he has not the honesty to acknowledge it." Later she does say something worth thinking over: "What he has done is to use Eastern methods for Western ideas when he should have used Eastern ideas for Western methods." Plagiarism is an irrelevant charge to bring against an artist, but acknowledging an artistic right to adaptation means expansion and, despite nationalism, a universal one-ness.

Book Discussion

"And Lesser Things"


Very, very clever. The ultimate emptiness of cleverness. These parodies are "not a piece of buffoonery so much as a critical exposition",—the poet expects them to approach this "elevated and illuminating" standard; but they never reach satire, which is really the thing that is covered by the above quotation from Isaac Disraeli.

Untermeyer's verse, including *Challenge* and that so quantitatively
published in the magazines,—still speaking comparatively,—has the same relation to poetry as Urban's scenery for *The Follies* has to his Boston Opera settings; or of all of Urban's work to that of the numerous German poster school of five or eight years ago. Untermeyer is lenient in parodying poets of his own ilk—but it is easy to determine which of those he does not respect by his obvious, spiteful absurdities.

For years now newspaper paragraphers, "poets", and editors have been saying such things as "It is time we are getting ourselves talked about" when mentioning Ezra Pound. Untermeyer stoops to it; he is still the "once born" when being "critical" about Amy Lowell: "A blue herring sings". What he is really parodying here is his colleague Walt Mason's prose-printed jingles which are syndicated throughout newspaperdom; he is not giving a "critical exposition" of polyphonic prose. It will need a keener critic or poet than he to do it—or to produce a parody or satire whose art equals that of the thing satirized—Masters's things for example. By ambling through thirty-seven lines Untermeyer imagines that he is being master of the situation as regards Masters. And the last line of the parody on James Oppenheim might very well have been written by Untermeyer himself as one of his own: "Clad in the dazzling splendor of my awakened self" . . . No matter what may have been your attitude toward the poets parodied these things leave your feelings unchanged—except that he makes more definite your attitude towards him.

### Impartial and Otherwise


These books are not war-literature—a compliment not often deserved in these days of ink-war demoralization. The lay, unbiased reader, who is inclined to learn facts rather than to find interpretations substantiating his prejudices, will enjoy the three books as a rare treat. They are very much unlike. Mr. Schevill is a historian par excellence, and lends a broad perspective to the related facts. He also lends a rich romantic flavor to his narrative, an emotional undercurrent—so unfrequent a feature with academic writers. His point of view may not be universally acceptable; even in history there are events and phenomena which belong to the autonomous region of taste and opinion. The scene of the triumphant Prussians solemnizing their victory in Versailles, for example, may arouse differing emotions and reflections. Mr. Schevill bows in reverence before the three
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heroic figures of Emperor William ("not unlike the legendary Barbarossa"), Bismarck, and Moltke. We may likewise not share his enthusiasm for the German idea of State, as superior to Anglo-Saxon individualism. But we cannot help admiring the general brilliancy of the treatment of the gigantic subject, and if we are capable of getting instructed, our reading of the book will amply reward us.

M. Sarolea is a Belgian, hence pro-Ally and anti-German, hence unreservedly Russophil, hence not wholly impartial. It is a poor service to Russia, the unqualified praise of all her institutions and traits on the part of her friends. Exaggerated eulogy is apt to arouse suspicion. If M. Sarolea had interchanged his Mercurian sprightliness for Professor Veblen's solidity, both would have gained considerably. Mr. Veblen takes us as far back as the pre-historic Baltic tribes in order to prove his point of the peculiar aptitude of the Prussians for borrowing. He certainly succeeds in his attempt, but at the expense of the reader's patience and eye-sight which is subjected to the perusal of endless pages of miniature type. His scientific style is surcharged with profound sarcasm, and if you are fond of delicate subtleties the book will afford you "great sport." Schevill, historian; Sarolea, publicist; Veblen, economist—the common feature of the three, particularly of the first and of the last, is respect for the reader who is treated with facts and not with phantoms for the sake of argument.

K.

The Reader Critic

"SPIRITUAL ADVENTURES"

Anonymous:

At your suggestion I have begun to read Arthur Symons's "Spiritual Adventures." "Christian Trevelga" strikes me, as you predicted, most strongly so far. Symons is one of the sublest of minds; everything he writes is worth reading. This is of his best certainly. What is one to make of him? I don't know. I don't know whether his kind of subtlety is of any earthly value, or whether it is as valuable as Shelley's. I can never give up faith in the human race quite as completely as he does, nor adopt his attitude of autocratic detachment; yet I never seem to have any real faith, either.—Vae victis!

He is removed from all sense of human values, and lost, always, in abstract patterns. This particular story is an extraordinary expression of him—of the prizes and peril of such a state. Oh, hell! what an insult is put upon us when we are invited to live, and to make such a choice.

Perhaps one makes it: then he is not happy until he has lost himself in an art that is "something more than an audible dramatization of human life." Perhaps he is right. But—

But—but—

Sometimes I know that for the greatest artist there would be no chasm between what the heart desires and what the mind constructs. Tell me how to do that in
poetry and I'll give you a dollar. Perhaps it can be done in music—I don't know. But in poetry the human heart and the mathematical soul are always fighting—and so far as I know they have not yet come to an agreement—not in English poetry, at least. The artist and the human being never get to be bedfellows. It's either sickening humanitarianism or stark designing—the second is the less painful.

Well!—I loathe the world, including Symons and all the arts.

Ezra Pound, London:

Thanks for the January-February issue. Your magazine seems to be looking up. A touch of light in Dawson and Seiffert—though The Little Review seems to me rather scrappy and unselective. I thought you started out to prove Ficke's belief that the sonnet is "Gawd's own city." However, he seems to have abandoned that church. I still don't know whether you send me the magazine in order to encourage me in believing that my camp stool by Helicon is to be left free from tacks, or whether the paper is sent to convert me from error. I am glad to see in it some mention of Eliot, who is really of interest.

The Egoist is about to publish Joyce's "A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man" in volume form (since no grab-the-cash firm will take it) and do Lewis's "Tarr" as a serial. I think you will be interested in the two novels, and I hope you will draw attention to them, and to the sporting endeavor of The Egoist to do in this dark isle what the Mercure has so long done in France, i.e., publish books as well as a magazine.

Incidentally, Chicago should not depend on New York for its books.

Anonymous: Will you ask that Lollipop Vender man, in the March issue, what happened to his little dirigible? He was sailing along dropping bombs, hitting the mark every time, when something seemed to happen and he came limply wobbling down to—nothing.

I hope the last half of that article was not meant to be satire or wit or anything like that. He speaks with too much authority to have much sense of humor, and—ye gods!—the situation is far too desperate for wit—of that kind. Now there's Bartlett—read what he says of Bartlett! Haven't we answered all attacks for years with "There's Bartlett"? It was only intuition and self-preservation on our part at first, perhaps—but now hasn't Bartlett proved that he is a "real artist"? He is off to New York to live.

How he does wobble when he comes to his list of "able and honest".

Poor Parker! that he should have to go into the list of best men, too—that list! The man can paint—technic seems to be only a superstition now but it once had a place in Art. Parker has that at least. Wendt, Buehr, Ravlin, and Davis should be rescued from the "able and honest" before your critic collapses completely in referring to Clarkson and Oliver Dennet Grover as some of "their best men." Ask him anyway—what happened.

Alice Groff, Philadelphia:

Why did not Sherwood Anderson write up "Vibrant Life" clean and true? Why did he not have the courage to paint every one of those emotions in clear color—to outline every one of those actions in the beauty of naturalness? Why does he artificialize everything? Is he afraid of the crouching tigers of conventional morality?

Why should not vibrant life assert itself after its kind, even in the presence of death? What desecration was there in this man and woman coming together in such presence, drawn by the invincible magnetism of sex? What of falsity to life was there in the lawyer's giving and answering the call of life as to this woman, even though he had a wife whom he loved?

Why conjure up an atmosphere of guilt that neither man nor woman felt? Why suggest such hair-bristling horror as to the accidental overturning of a dead man's body, any more than over the accidental upsetting of a vase, or a statue, in the course of a dance? Why such strained effort to make that specialized expression
of vibrant life which is the very pivotal centre of all life appear as the degradation of
degradation, degrading everything else, even death?

Will you answer that there is an eternal and universal sense of the fitness of
things with which every soul may be lightened that cometh into the world? Shall
I not reply to you that this is a lie against life—that life is sacrificed every day to
this lie? Shall I not say to you that vibrant life must not allow itself to be sacri-
ficed to such lies—that vibrant life must create anew continually a sense of the
fitness of things for itself and for its every new expression—that it must do this
with authority, shaking itself bravely free from the clutch of the dead hand, whether
as to traditions, standards, customs, morals, ideals or love even? Shall I not say
to you that Life mustassert its right to Live? Shall we not organize life on such
basis?

REVIEWING "THE LITTLE REVIEW"

Virginia York in "The Richmond Evening Journal":

As we said a couple of months ago, THE LITTLE REVIEW, published in windy
Chicago, is claimed by its editors and readers to be the very, very last word in
prose and poetry. Also, it is the organ, the mouth organ, perhaps, of that unsustained
tune known as "vers libre." In a criticism of some of the Review's lurid, foolish
contents we poked a good deal of fun at the publication in general and one piece
of loose, or free, verse in particular. This gem, entitled, "Cafe Sketches," by Arthur
Davison Ficke, said, in part:

Presently persons will come out
And shake legs.
I do not want legs shaken.
I want immortal souls shaken unreasonably.
I want to see dawn spilled across the blackness
Like a scrambled egg on a skillet;
I want miracles, wonders.
Tidings out of deeps I do not know, . . .
But I have a horrible suspicion
That neither you
Nor your esteemed consort
Nor I myself
Can ever provide these simple things
For which I am so patiently waiting
Base people.
How I dislike you!

As we said a couple of months ago, "Maybe you think this is funny, but certainly
it is not intended to be. Seriousness, thick, black, dense seriousness is the keynote of
THE LITTLE REVIEW." However, the current issue of said magazine carries our
editorial remarks in full, and with our hand on our heart we make a deep courtesy
for the honor conferred upon us. Though we distinctly deplore the fact that abso-
lutely no comment is made upon our criticism of THE LITTLE REVIEW and Mr. Ficke's
remarkable "pome." It is as if we were taken by the editorial legs and shaken.
And we do not want legs shaken. We are a lady. We would far rather have our
immortal editorial soul shaken unreasonably and spilled across the literary blackness
and blankness "like a scrambled egg on the skillet." Yet, we have a horrible idea
"that neither you," nor our esteemed contemporary, "nor I myself," know what
it is all about; but we do wish that Margaret Anderson and the other editors of "Le
Revue Petite" had made a few caustic remarks on our feeble attempts to be funny.
"Base people! How I dislike you!"

But to show that we can be generous and heap coals of fire upon the heads
of our enemies, we propose to reproduce two short, sweet poems from this month's
(beg pardon, the January-February issue, lately out, "on account of having no funds
during January," as the Review editors admit) issue of THE LITTLE REVIEW. The
first selection on our program, ladies and gentlemen, is by Harriet Dean and is called,
"The Pillar," though much more effectively it might have been headed "The Pillow"
or "The Hitching-Post." Here goes:
When your house grows too close for you,
When the ceilings lower themselves, crushing you,
There on the porch I shall wait,
Outside your house.
You shall lean against my straightness,
And let night surge over you.

Now if it were only a nice slim lamp-post of a man giving such an invitation
we should pray that the ceilings would descend, and should hasten to the porch—
strangely enough on the outside of the house—and we should love to lean, and lean,
and lean, surge what may.

The second, an "Asperity," by Mitchell Dawson, is labeled "Teresa," and madly
singeth as follows:

"Do you remember Antonio—
Swift-winged, green in the sun?
Into the snap-dragon throat of desire
Flew Antonio.
Snap! . . .
The skeleton of Antonio has made
A good husband, a good provider."

La, la, la! At first we thought "Antonio" was a green dragon fly, but, finally,
by exercising a bit of common sense, we know that Tony is a locust and left his
"skeleton," or "shell," behind; and that Mrs. Tony must have subsisted on the
"leavings."
Oh, this nut sundae, chocolate fudge, marshmallow whip vers libre poetry! Isn't
it just too lovely? Snap! "Into the snap-dragon throat of desire, Flew Antonio."
Honestly now, Tony, don't you wish the lady had kept her mouth shut?
We should like to comment upon these remarks, but surely they are too good
to spoil.

A Boy, Chicago:
I am a boy sixteen years old, and one could not expect me to know much about
poetry—especially free verse. But I have heard of your magazine as a magazine
that was ready to print what all kinds of people thought. So I have written a little
verse—it is not a poem—telling you something about what is going on inside my mind,
for these matters trouble every boy's mind, although you may think that we are
light-minded at my age.

BLINDNESS

I suppose I must be blind.
People say continually that the world is a wicked place;
I hear them talking about it all the time.
They say our city streets reek
With sin and sorrow,
And all manner of misery and filth,
And yet I do not see any of it.
I go up and down these streets every day
And I see that they are ugly and that many people
Are deformed and sick and hungry;
But I close my eyes to it.
I suppose somebody will call me cowardly, but what shall I do?
I have no money to give the poor, and perhaps
That is not getting at their real trouble anyway.
I cannot heal the sick and deformed.
I cannot make the streets cleaner.
So I just think of other things.
Of my books at home, or the tennis courts in the park,
Or my pretty sister or anything.
There is nothing wrong in my own world.
I am happy. I like my school well enough.
I have my boy friends, and they are healthy athletic boys.
All the girls I know are good girls,
With charming and high minds.
And yet it is true that many boys lie and steal,
And girls run away and are dragged into lives of shame.
Why do I not see it? Why do I not do anything?
Why am I so helpless, if I have any duty to others?

FROM “THE INTERSTATE MEDICAL JOURNAL”

A case in point showing how little has been achieved by our medical men who have gone among the people, torch in hand, to lead them to the Promised Land of happiness and content and physical and mental health has been well illustrated in a poem, recently published in The Little Review (Chicago), wherein the authoress, Mary Aldis, unwittingly indicts the whole medical profession for still allowing the sale of a patent medicine to reduce obesity. The strange title of the poem in homely and unadorned “free verse” is “Ellie: The Tragic Tale of An Obese Girl.”

Mrs. Aldis—thus runs the poem—had a manicurist who was “a great big lum-mox of a girl—a continent,” with “silly bulging cheeks and puffy forehead,” and who one day said to the poetess, weeping and distraught: “I’m so fat, so awful, awful fat! The boys won’t look at me.” She asked Mrs. Aldis for help and Mrs. Aldis suggested, “A doctor’s vague advice to bant and exercise,” and “Ellie and her woes passed from my mind. Until, as summer dawned again, I heard that she was dead.” Mrs. Aldis went to the funeral and saw Ellie lying in her coffin and was told by Elbe’s mother, “She must a made it [the dress] by herself. It’s queer it fitted perfectly, An’ her all thin like that.” Later in the evening Mrs. Aldis received the following confidences from Ellie’s mother: “‘Twas the stuff she took that did it, I never knew till after she was dead, The bottles in the woodshed, hundreds of ’em, All labelled ‘Caldwell’s Great Obesity Cure Warranted Safe and Rapid.’”

To sermonize here, we have Mrs. Aldis, who we know to be a highly intelligent woman and one not only interested in the uplift of the drama but also in the uplift of the common (?) people, merely saying to a girl, who is wretchedly unhappy about her elephantine size: All that I can give you is a doctor’s vague advice to bant and exercise. She might have given her Vance Thompson’s epoch-making book “Eat and Grow Thin,” or read chapters from it to the unhappy girl, thereby convincing her that starvation is unnecessary and also a patent medicine. But with a coldness that is most reprehensible, she gave “a doctor’s vague advice to bant and exercise,” and evidently Ellie would none of this. She might also have consulted the hundred and one doctors in Chicago or elsewhere who specialize in the reduction of fat, and who could have given her for “the continent” a diet chart or perhaps a pill to effect the desired change. But she did not think this necessary; she did not feel it her duty. But if we have only adverse criticism for Mrs. Aldis’ uncharitable act, what direful words of commination should we not visit on the doctor who gave the “vague advice.” In an age when the cult of slimness is uppermost in everybody’s mind, is it possible that the doctor consulted by Mrs. Aldis was so untrue to his mission as a public benefactor that he gave only “vague advice,” or is Mrs. Aldis maligning the whole medical profession and trying to show that by his “vague advice” the doctor was really responsible for Ellie’s death by driving her into taking “the bottles in the woodshed, hundreds of ’em, All labelled ‘Caldwell’s Great Obesity Cure Warranted Safe and Rapid.’”

The lesson contained in the poetic lines of Mrs. Aldis’ little tragedy is a bitter one for all those medical men who have made strenuous efforts to let the public share their deep and vast knowledge without so much as asking for the slightest compensation. It shows beyond a doubt that not only are the Ellies of this world unwilling to imbibe science in a popular form, but also the Aldises of a much higher intelligence. It shows that the lure of patent medicine is a very strong one and that a doctor’s “vague advice” cannot offset it. Strange, indeed, that a doctor’s “vague advice” should be so inconsequential opposite so patently fraudulent a preparation as “Caldwell’s Great Obesity Cure,” but stranger still is what we are about to record—namely, the failure of our medical propagandists to combat in an intelligent way that most simple of all our metabolic disturbances—obesity!
A Vers Libre Prize Contest

THROUGH the generosity of a friend, THE LITTLE REVIEW is enabled to offer an unusual prize for poetry—possibly the first prize extended to free verse. The giver is "interested in all experiments, and has followed the poetry published in THE LITTLE REVIEW with keen appreciation and a growing admiration for the poetic form known as vers libre."

The conditions are as follows:

Contributions must be received by August 15th.
They must not be longer than twenty-five lines.
They must be sent anonymously with stamps for return.
The name and address of the author must be fixed to the manuscript in a sealed envelope.

It should be borne in mind that free verse is wanted—verse having beauty of rhythm, not merely prose separated into lines.

There will be three judges, the appointing of whom has been left to the editor of THE LITTLE REVIEW. (Their names will be given in the next issue, as we are hurrying this announcement to press without having had time to consult anyone.)

There will be two prizes of $25 each. They are offered not as a first and second prize, but for "the two best short poems in free verse form."

As there will probably be a large number of poems to read, we suggest that contributors adhere closely to the conditions of the contest.
In the APRIL NUMBER of THE EGOIST our new Serial Story: "TARR," by Mr. WYNDHAM LEWIS opens with a long installment.

In the MAY NUMBER Miss DORA MARSDEN will resume her Editorial Articles, Mr. EZRA POUND will start a series of translations of the "DIALOGUES of FONTENELLE," and the first of a Series of LETTERS of a 20th CENTURY ENGLISHWOMAN will also appear. These Letters bear particularly upon the interests and education of modern women.

MADAME CIOLKOWSKA will continue the "PARIS CHRONICLE" and her new series of articles on "THE FRENCH WORD IN MODERN PROSE."

Further prose contributors will include: H. S. WEAVER, RICHARD Aldington (also poetry), A. W. G. RANDALL (studies in modern German poetry), JOHN COURNOS, F. S. FLINT, LEIGH HENRY (studies in contemporary music), M. MONTAGU-NATHAN, HUNTLY CARTER, MARGARET STORM JAMESON and others.

THE EGOIST will also continue to publish regularly the work of Young English and American Poets, and poems (in French) by Modern French Poets.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY

Price—Fifteen cents a number
Yearly subscription, One Dollar Sixty Cents

OAKLEY HOUSE, BLOOMSBURY STREET, LONDON, W. C.
PIANO TRIUMPHANT

The artistic outgrowth of forty-five years of constant improvement—a piano conceived to better all that has proven best in others.

GEO. P. BENT GRAND

Could you but compare it with all others, artistically it must be your choice. Each day proves this more true.

Geo. P. Bent Grand, Style "A"—a small Grand, built for the home—your home.

GEO. P. BENT COMPANY

Manufacturers of Artistic Pianos
Retailers of Victrolas
214 South Wabash Avenue, Chicago
BUY YOUR BOOKS HERE

If you wish to assist The Little Review without cost to yourself you may order books—any book—from the Gotham Book Society and The Little Review will be benefitted by the sales. By this method The Little Review hopes to help solve a sometimes perplexing business problem—whether the book you want is listed here or not the Gotham will supply your needs. Price the same, or in many instances much less, than were you to order direct from the publisher. All books are exactly as advertised. Send P. O. Money Order, check, draft or postage stamps. Order direct from the Gotham Book Society, 142 W. 23rd St., N. Y., Dept. K. Don’t fail to mention Department K. Here are some suggestions of the books the Gotham Book Society is selling at publishers’ prices. All prices cover postage charges.

POETRY AND DRAMA

SEVEN SHORT PLAYS. By Lady Gregory. Contains the following plays by the woman who holds one of the three places of most importance in the modern Celtic movement, and is chiefly responsible for the Irish theatrical development of recent years: "Spreading the News," "Byadnth Halvey," "The Rising of the Moon," "The Jackdaw," "The Workhouse Ward," "The Traveling Man," "The Good Gate," together with music for songs in the plays and explanatory notes. Send $1.60.

THE MAN WHO MARRIED A DUMB WIFE. By Anatoile France. Translated by Curtis Hidden Page. Illustrated. Founded on the plot of an old but lost play mentioned by Rabelais. Send 95c.

THE GARDENER. By Rabindranath Tagore. The famous collection of lyrics of love and life by the Nobel Prize-winner. Send $1.35.

DOME OF MANY-COLORED GLASS. New Ed. of the Poems of Amy Lowell. Send $1.35.

SPOON RIVER ANTHOLOGY. By Edgar Lee Masters. Send $1.35.

DREAMS AND DUST. A book of lyrics, ballads and other verse forms in which the major key is that of cheerfulness. Send $1.25.


THE DAWN (Les Aubes). A symbolic war play, by Emile Verhaeren, the poet of the Belgians. The author approaches life through the feelings and passions. Send $1.10.

CHILD OF THE AMAZONS, and other Poems by Max Eastman. "Mr. Eastman has the gift of the singing line."—Vida D. Scudder. "A poet of beautiful form and feeling."—Wm. Marion Reedy. Send $1.10.


CHALLENGE. By Louis Untermeyer. "No other contemporary poet has more independently and imperiously voiced the dominant thought of the times."—Philadelphia North American. Send $1.10.


AND PIPPA DANCES. By Gerhart Hauptmann. A mystical tale of the glassworks, in four acts, translated by Mary Harned. Send 95c.

AGNES BERNAUER. By Frederick Hebbel. A tragedy in five acts. Life in Germany in 15th century. Translated by Lowen Pettle. Send 95c.

IN CHAINS ("Les Tenailles"). By Paul Hervieu. In three acts. A powerful arraignment of "Marriage a La Mode." Translated by Ysidor Asckenasy. Send 95c.

SONGS OF LOVE AND REBELLION. Covington Hall's best and finest poems on Revolution, Love and Miscellaneous Visions. Send 50c.


THE MADMAN DIVINE. By Jose Echegaray. Prose drama in four acts. Translated by Elizabeth Howard West. Send 95c.

TO THE STARS. By Leonid Andrejeff. Four acts. A glimpse of young Russia in the throes of the Revolution. Time: The Present. Translated by Dr. A. Goudas. Send 95c.

PHANTASMS. By Roberto Bracco. A drama in four acts, translated by Dirce St. Cyr. Send 95c.

THE HIDDEN SPRING. By Roberto Bracco. A drama in four acts, translated by Dirce St. Cyr. Send 95c.

Hauptmann's later plays. Send $1.60.

Zimmern. Send $3.00.

his people." Send $1.10.

author approaches life through the feelings and passions.

Hauptmann. The sixth volume, containing three of

Emile Verhaeren, the poet of the Belgians. "The

important papers in the case, with some indication of its im-

evidence, the arguments, the summing up and all the im-

Drama for two generations. Send $3.25.

The famous dramatic critic tells of his association with the

scripts, and has also staged and directed plays. Send $1.60.

"Frogs." Translated by Gilbert Murray. Send $1.75.

Ray. Send $2.10.


THE TROJAN WOMEN. Translated by Gilbert Mur-

ray. Send 85c.

ELECTRA. Translated by Gilbert Murray. Send 85c.

ANCIENT GREEK LITERATURE. By Gilbert Mur-

ray. Send $2.10.

EUPHRIDES AND HIS AGE. By Gilbert Murray. Send 75c.

GENERAL

VAGRANT MEMORIES. By William Winter. Illustrated. The famous dramatic critic tells of his association with the drama for two generations. Send $3.25.

THE NEARING CASE. By Lightner Witmer. A complete account of the dismissal of Professor Nearing from the University of Pennsylvania, containing the indictment, the evidence, the arguments, the summing up and all the im-

portant papers in the case, with some indication of its im-

portance to the question of free speech. 600 postpaid.

THE ART OF THE MOVING PICTURE. By Vachel Lind-

say. Send 85c.

WRITING AND SELLING A PLAY. By Fanny Cannon. A practical book by a woman who is herself an actress, a playwright, a professional reader and critic of plays manu-

script, and has also written and directed plays. Send $1.60.


Francis of Assissi. Send $1.87.

Everyman's Encyclopedia is the cure for indigency.

It is the handiest and cheapest form of modern collected knowledge, and should be in every classroom, every office,

every home. Twelve volumes in box. Cloth. Send $6.90.

Three Other Styles of Binding. Mail your order today.

Nietzsche. By Dr. Georq Brandes, the discoverer of Nietzsche. Send $1.25.

WAR AND CULTURE. By John Cowper Powys. Send 75c.

SHATTUCK'S PARLIAMENTARY ANSWERS. By Har-

riette B. Shattuck. Alphabetically arranged for all ques-

EAT AND GROW THIN. By Vance Thompson. A collection of the hit-lists unpublished Mahatma menus and recipes for which Americans have been passing fifty-two-month fees to fashionable physicians in order to escape the tragedy of growing fat. 10mo. Send $1.10.

FOURTY THOUSAND QUOTATIONS. By Charles Noel

Douglas. Those 40,000 prose and poetic quotations are selected from standard authors of ancient and modern times, are classified according to subject, fill 2,600 pages, and are provided with a thumb index. 8v0, postpaid.

THE CRY FOR JUSTICE. An anthology of the literature of reform. Edited by Eugene Debs. Introduction by Jack London. "The work is world-literature, as well as the Gospel of a universal humanitarianism. Contains the writings of philosophers, poets, novelists, social reformers, selected from twenty-five languages, covering a period of five thousand years. Inspiring to every thinking man and woman: a handbook of reference to all students of social conditions. 950 pages, cloth, and illustrated in full color. In cloth, price very low for so large a book. Send $2.00.

Three Other Styles of Binding. Mail your order today.

LANDMARKS IN RUSSIAN LITERATURE. By Maurice Baring. Intimate studies of Tolstoi, Turgenev, Gogol, Chekov, Dostoevsky. Send $2.00.
AN ANARCHIST WOMAN. By H. Haggard. This extraordinary novel points out the nature, the values and also the defects of the social order. Published at $1.25 net; our price 60c., postage paid.

THE HARBOR. By Ernest Poole. A novel of remarkable power and vision in which are depicted the great changes taking place in American life, business and ideals. Send $1.60.

MAXINE GORKY. Twenty-six and One and other stories from the Fagelovod series. Published at $1.55; our price 60c., postage paid.

SANINE. By Artashashian. The sensational Russian novel now obtainable in English. Send $1.45.

A FAR COUNTRY. Winston Churchill's new novel is another powerful and faithful picture of contemporary American life and more daring than "The Inside of the Cup." Send $1.60.


THE CROCK OF GOLD. By James Stephens. Send $1.60.

THE NAT-PIT. By Patrick MacGill. A novel of war and the war-scrum that thrives on itself. Send $1.45.

THE AMETHYST RING. By Anatole France. Translated by E. Drillet. $1.00 postpaid.

GRANDQUIERLE. By Anatole France. Translated by W. L. Stevens. The story of a contaminator who is turned from a dull-witted and inoffensive creature by the instigations of the police and the low rascals measures of the lap dog of society. Send $1.50.

VIOLETTE OF PERE LACHAISE. By Anna Strunsky (Prague). An epitome of the subject. Sold only to physicians, jurists and educators. Price, $4.35. Special thin paper edition, $1.60.

PAN'S GARDEN. By Algernon Blackwood. Send $1.60.

THE TURMOIL. By Booth Tarkington. A beautiful story of the story of the life of one man, with its many complications with the lives of others, both men and women of varied backgrounds. Published at $1.50 net; our price 60c., postage paid.

THE FREELANDS. By John Galsworthy. Gives a large and vivid presentation of English life under the stress of modern social conflict, centering upon a romance of boy-and-girl love. This novel, which Galsworthy regards as one of his contemporaries. Send $1.45.

FIDELITY. Susan Glaspell's greatest novel. The author calls it "The story of a woman's love—of what she loves more than herself." Send $1.45.

WOOD AND STONE. By John Cooper Powys. An Epoch Making Novel!" Part of a series of seven novels by one of the most distinguished British novelists today. Send $1.60.

RED FLEECE. By Will Langston Comfort. A story of the Russian revolutionists and the proletariat in general in the Great War, and how they were subdued in the trenches. "Exciting, understanding, and exhilarating—try for Comfort an incolle and revolutionary as well as artistic. He is our American Artashashian, one of the very few American masters of the new nation." Send $1.50.

THE STAR ROVER. By Jack London. Frontispiece in colors by J. W. Edmonds. A man unjustly accused of murder is sentenced to imprisonment, and finally sent to execution, but proves the supremacy of mind over matter by succeeding, after long practice, in locating his spirit from his body and sending it on long quests through the universe, finally closing the barriers in this way. Send $1.60.

THE RESEARCH MAGNIFICENT. By H. G. Wells. Tells the story of the life of one man, with his many complications with the lives of others, both men and women of varied backgrounds. Published at $1.50 net; our price 60c., postage paid.

SEXOLOGY

Here is the great sex book of the day: FORER'S THE SEXUAL QUESTION. A scientific, psychological, hygienic local and social criticism of sex for the cultured classes. By Europe's foremost sex specialist. Chapter on "Sex and other Irregularities of the Sexual Appetite" a profound revelation of human emotions. Degeneracy exposed. Birth control discussed. Great work on sex, backed by preaching and even in the trenches. Exciting, understanding, and exhilarating—try for Comfort an incolle and revolutionary as well as artistic. He is our American Artashashian, one of the very few American masters of the new nation." Send $1.50.
SELECTED PAPERS ON HYSTERIA AND OTHER PSYCHONEUROSSES. By Prof. Sigmund Freud. A selection of some of the more important of Freud’s writings. Bound in leather. $2.50, postpaid.

THREE CONTRIBUTIONS TO SEXUAL THEORY. By Dr. John Van Dyke. Full illustrated. New edition revised and rewritten. Send $1.60.

THREE CONTRIBUTIONS TO SEXUAL THEORY. By Prof. Sigmund Freud. The psychology of sexual development. Price, $2.

FUNCTIONAL PERIODICITY. An experimental study of the mental and motor abilities of women during menstruation by Letts, Fischer, Hollingworth, Jr. $1.15, Paper.

MICHAEL ANGELO. By Romain Rolland. Twenty-two full-page illustrations. A critical and illuminating exposition of the genius of Michael Angelo. $2.65, postpaid.

INTERIOR DECORATION: ITS PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE. By Frank Alvin Parsons. Illustrated. $3.25, postpaid.

THE BARBIZON PAINTERS. By Arthur Hoeber. One hundred illustrations in sepia, reproducing characteristic work of the school. $2.75, postpaid.

THE BOOK OF MUSICAL KNOWLEDGE. By Arthur Elson. Illustrated. Gives in outline a general musical education, including the important activities of modern art which began with Delacroix and ended only with the war. Send $2.75.

MODERN PAINTING: ITS TENDENCY AND MEANING. By Prof. 8. Freud, M.D. A selection of some of the more important of Freud’s writings. Bound in leather. $2.50, postpaid.

REVELATIONS OF THE HIGH COURT. By John Masefield. A three-act tragedy founded on a famous legend of Japan. $1.35. postpaid.

THE RHYTHM OF LIFE. By Charles Brodie, Patterson. A discussion of harmony in music and color, and its influence on thought and character. $1.60, postpaid.

SOCIALIZED GERMANY. By Frederic C. Howe, author of “Criminal Law and Criminology, etc.” $3.50, postpaid.

SCIENTIFIC INVENTIONS OF TODAY. Illustrated. By T. W. Corbin. The modern uses of explosives, electricity, and other powerful forms of energy are described and illustrated. Send $1.60.

THE HUNTING WASPS. By J. Henri Fabre. Bound in uniform style with the other books by the same author. The life of the Spider, ‘The Life of the Fly’, etc. $1.60.

SCHOOLS OF TOMORROW. By John Dewey and Evelyn Heckscher. Illustrated. A study of a number of the schools of this country which are using advanced methods of experimenting with new ideas in the teaching and management of children. The practical methods are described and the spirit which informs them is analyzed and discussed. Send $2.50.

THE SOCIALISTS AND THE WAR. By William English Deming. A clarion call so radical that it may well provoke a great tumult of discussion and quicken a deep and perhaps sinister impulse to act. Send 60c.
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