Election, Yahweh who justifies by the Grace of Justification, and Yahweh who sanctifies by the Grace of Sanctification. Given this, one is left wondering what role man plays in his Salvation. The answer can be found in the Two Reality Concept. Since this is a separate topic let it suffice to say here that while in the Greater Reality, God is Sovereign and in control of each man's Salvation, in the Lesser Reality, that is the Reality in which man has his conscience being, man is responsible for the third part of the Salvation equation, which is sanctification. In the Lesser Reality, man can not affect his election. In the Lesser Reality, man can not affect his justification, as was witnessed by Old Covenant man's bondage to the law and death. In the Lesser Reality, man can affect, and is held responsible for, his sanctification. Man must respond to the call of the Holy Spirit, which is the Grace of Sanctification, with his response, in the Lesser Reality, either gaining him Salvation or Damnation. So this is man's role in Salvation, he must understand that in the Greater Reality God is in absolute control, but in the Lesser Reality he is in absolute control, determining his own Salvation by his response to the Grace of Sanctification, that is by whether or not he, to the best of his ability, keeps the Law of God.

At this point the interrelationship of Grace and Law should be clear. Grace (the blood of Christ) is man's Justification, Law is man's Sanctification, and both are necessary for man's Salvation. Understanding this interrelationship leads to the understanding of various other Bible truths. Two of these are why the Law could not have been nailed to the cross, and just what was nailed to the cross. As the third and final section of this essay, a brief explanation of these two final issues will be presented.

When looking at why the Law could not have been nailed to the cross, one must remember that the Law is how man fulfills his portion of the Salvation equation (in the Lesser Reality). If this Law (the means to sanctification) had been nailed to the cross, this would have meant that Salvation would have also been nailed to the cross. The result of this would have been that the elected and sanctified souls of the Old Covenant would have had their salvation equation completed and been given salvation, while New Covenant man would have been denied salvation because with the Law having been nailed to the cross, sanctification would have been impossible. New Covenant man would have been justified, but without sanctification his justification would have been meaningless. Saying the Law was nailed to the cross is synonymous with saying that Jesus Christ made salvation for New Covenant man impossible. This absurdity is the ultimate heresy which results from the doctrine of modern day "Christianity" which claims all Grace and no Law.

Seeing that the Law could not have been nailed to the cross, the second issue raised above must now be addressed: what, exactly, was nailed to the cross? The Biblical answer to this question is that the ritualistic, ceremonial ordinance of blood sacrifice was nailed to the cross. This ordinance was perfected by Jesus Christ, and was therefore no longer necessary. The ceremonial ordinance of blood sacrifice, having been perfected, was replaced by Holy Communion for New Covenant man. New Covenant man takes part in Holy Communion in remembrance of the work of Jesus Christ on the cross. Holy Communion is for New Covenant man, like blood sacrifice was for Old Covenant man, a necessary part of his sanctification process. Jesus Christ did not nail sanctification to the cross; rather He nailed the perfected ordinance of blood sacrifice to the cross, replacing it with Holy Communion.

It should now be clear that the title "Grace vs. Law" is illogical and was designed to blind the Christian mind from the truth about the interrelationship of Grace and Law. Keep the Law for your sanctification, have faith in Jesus Christ for your justification, and have confidence in your salvation. This is the interrelationship of Grace and Law.

THE SEEDLINE CONTROVERSY

By: Pastor Dan Gayman

Many fine Israelite Christian people in our generation have stumbled at the Doctrine of Seedlines. Most of the ministers who teach the Gospel of the Kingdom in America today reject the Doctrine of Seedlines, believing it is unscriptural. Large numbers of their followers follow their lead and likewise deny the validity of the seedlines. Some ministers confirm a personal belief in the Bible teaching of Seedlines but refuse to go public with this message. As a minister of Jesus Christ responsible to Him on the Day of Judgement and accountable for every word preached, I wish to confirm and establish in this generation my personal and public belief in the Doctrine of the Seedlines. The one hundred or so people who attend Worship Services at the CHURCH OF ISRAEL at Schell City, many of the several hundred who attend annual Feast Day Celebrations here, and a majority of the readership of ***The Watchman*** publication believe in the Bible teaching of the Seedlines.

Why, then, is there such controversy over this teaching among Kingdom believers? A majority of the most well-known Kingdom ministers in our generation have subscribed a strong belief in the teaching of Seedlines, and that list includes such well-known Bible expositors as Dr. J.A. Lovell, Dr. Bertrand Comparet, Dr. Roy Gillaspie, and other such ministers in this generation. Since there are many sincere Israelite Christians who have come into the knowledge of the Gospel of the Kingdom in recent years without proper introduction to the Seedline teaching, these people have seldom if ever had the opportunity to hear this message in all of its Biblical fullness explained. This discussion is intended to reach out to both ministers and lay people within the Kingdom family of believers who have either rejected the teaching of the Seedlines or who have built up a limited spiritual immunity against such teaching. To all those who have eyes to see and ears to hear, let them both see and hear this important doctrine.

Many ministers will tell you that it is not important whether or not you believe in the Seedline teaching. Some ministers will assert that this teaching is of secondary importance and does not deserve prime time concentration and effort to understand. Again, may I confirm my personal and public testimony to the fact that without understanding of the Seedlines of the Bible, you cannot understand the Bible. If you believe that understanding the Bible is vital to your Christian walk, then understanding Seedline teaching is essential, for without this understanding, you cannot understand much of the Bible. As a minister of Jesus Christ I admonish, exhort, inspire, and encourage you take the necessary time to study this subject to the full and complete limits of your ability. I might also add that ***belief preceeds understanding***. If you seek to understand this doctrine with the rational mind before believing it, you may never see the light. You ***believe*** that you might ***understand***. You do not understand that you might believe. I do in the name of Jesus Christ appeal to the reader to give your full and complete concentration to that which follows.

If you believe that God from the beginning has worked out His plans for this earth through a particular people, and if you believe that God purposed to work through Abraham and his seed His plan and purpose for this world and the Kingdom to come, you believe in ***Seedline Teaching***. If you believe that the ***seed of Abraham*** is identified in the Anglo-Saxon and ***Kindred*** peoples of the western world, then you already are halfway into the Doctrine of Seedlines. Can anyone really believe that halfway is sufficient in anything? If you have already accepted belief in the identification of the seed of Abraham and even count yourself a member of that seedline, you have confirmed a personal belief in the seedline that God purposed in Jesus Christ to save for His Kingdom. There remains, then, only that wicked and sinful seedline devoted to evil to be understood before you are all the way with the Doctrine of the Seedlines.

Just as the true seed of Abraham, descended through Isaac and Jacob-Israel, has always displayed a proclivity toward acceptance of Jesus Christ, confirmed a belief in the Bible, has sought to build churches and embrace the faith of Christianity, so have the children of Satan, the seedline of evil sought to battle against Jesus Christ, wrestle and fight against the Bible, wear down and destroy Christianity, and seek the ruin and demise of Christian culture from this earth. A bit of simple logic will tell you that if good and positive things have been sought by the true seed of Abraham, then negative and evil programs have been the inspiration of those who are the counterfeit seed of Abraham.

If on the one hand you are ready to accept the idea that the true and legitimate seed of Abraham loved Jesus Christ, reads its Bible, builds Churches, works to uphold Christianity, and does these things because within their genetic and spiritual make up there is a predisposition to doing good, then it stands to reason that those who always oppose Jesus Christ, despise the Bible, and seek the ruin and dissolution of the Christian faith also do this because there is in their genetic make up a predisposition to do evil. If throughout the ages one seedline of people has always been the friend of Jesus Christ, the Bible, and freedom, and on the other hand another seedline has always worked to fomet revolution, bloodshed, and close down the Church, would we not be foolish to examine the root source of this wicked seedline? If the good people descended from Abraham through Isaac represent a good seedline, where does the evil seedline come from? Can we really be satisfied with half-way seedline teaching? Is fifty percent of the truth sufficient to carry us through to understanding the Bible? Why not consider all the truth about the seedlines and be honest with God and His Word?