Dr. Yiamouyannis

(Continued from page 9)

ments and which is largely preventable by stopping compulsory fluoridation.

Dr. Yiamouyannis' and Burk's epidemological study has been validated by current laboratory research of Tutsui, Uslu, Emsley, and even more recently by researchers at the University of California in San Diego.

Dr. Shissel characterized those who oppose the compulsory pollution of our water supplies as "quacks and misinformed individuals." Reacting to this unprofessional behavior, Susan Pare of CHA observed that, "Those who throw mud will only lose the ground they stand on. And based on the mail we've received, many viewers saw right through Dr. Shissel's name-calling, and want more documentation about fluoride's harm."

CHA has sent out more than seventy-five information packets to inquiring viewers of the "Morning Show."

Paper Tiger of endorsements blown away

One city council, when faced with the lopsided list of endorsements for fluoridation decided to check into their veracity. In Lake Charles, Louisiana, the city council appointed a Study Committee to investigate the endorsing organizations and how they had arrived at their endorsements. The committee found that "only three organizations had conducted studies on fluoride." Many said that they endorsed it because other groups did and most based their endorsement on existing studies rather than looking for new research.

Since so many communities are faced with these endorsements as proof that fluoridation is universally accepted, we think that this "paper tiger" should be exposed for what it is — hollow and empty. You must ask the questions, "How many of these organizations have seen or heard the facts about fluoridation's harm and acknowledged increase in our total fluoride intake causing a rise in dental fluorosis to 28%? How many of these associations have the expertise or training to be advising us on whether we should take one miligram of fluoride with every 32 ounces of water we drink? Would these same organizations endorse "aspirination" of the water because doctors recommend one aspirin a day as a preventive for heart attacks? This reporter hopes not.

Any city council interested in the documentation mentioned in this article, please contact CHA for more information.

NOTE: The next time that you see or hear about this misuse of and misrepresentation of the facts by the proponents of fluoridation, ASK FOR A COPY OF THE FOLLOWING AD TO PLACE IN YOUR LOCAL PAPER.

People say "no" to Pepper's quack bill

Rep. Claude Pepper's anti-quackery bill has gotten such an avalanche of protest from people all over the country, that the bill has been withdrawn. While Pepper says that his intent was to protect people, particularly the elderly, from "intentional fraud," he admits that some of the language in his bill was overly broad, such as the use of the word "unproven" as a standard for quackery.

He told **Whole Life Times**, "We have no desire to prohibit acupuncture or vitamins or massage or chiropractors"; these therapies, in his opinion, are well-established. Neither would he interfere with nutritional therapy for cancer. (**Whole Life Times**, March, 1985, p. 12.)

CHA — Note

If you or your child has a chornic skin irritation on or around the lips or gums and nothing your doctor does seems to work, try eliminating fluoridated toothpaste.

While experts don't think the fluoride causes the irritation, it can transform the irritation into a long-term problem by slowing down the body's healing process.

Even after stopping use of fluoride toothpastes, it may take as long as one month before the lesions heal.

By Stephen Langer, M.D., who is known to millions through his nationally-syndicated television series, The Medicine Man, and is president of the American Nutritional Medical Association.

SHOULD THEY ADD INDUSTRIAL GRADE FLUORIDE TO OUR DRINKING WATER?

Who conducted original studies on fluoridation?†
Groups for:
American Dental Association
IADR
*EPA

†According to an independent study committee, Lake Charles, LA.

*Currently reviewing the MCL (maximum contaminant level) of fluoride.

We, the people, informed of the risks vs. benefits VOTED *NO:*

Brainerd, MN
San Antonio, TX
Sacramento, CA
Los Angeles, CA
Pepperell, MA
Lenox, MA
Spokane, WA
Olympia, WA
Bloomsburg, PA
Levittown, Long Island
Springfield, MA
Chicopee, MA
Agawam, MA
Gladstone, MO
Wasilla, Alaska
Ithaca, NY
Fredonia,NY
Hendersonville, NC
Portland, ME
Bayonne, LA
Croton, NY
Garden Grove, CA
Grand Is, NEB
Winfield, AK
Showlow, AZ
Baldwinville, NY
Stoughton, MA
Randolph, MA
Abilene, TX
West Point, NEB
Berlin, NH
Portland, ORE
Wallingford, VT
Athena, TX
Humboldt, KAN
Pentwater, MI



IT'S A NATURAL! CONTAMINANT SAY *NO*

PAID FOR WITH INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS, NOT OUR TAX $.