Abortion, the unpaid bill of the Church

The abortionist's mote vs. the Christian's beam

by Michael Skott

Preface

This article is an expose of the largest holocaust in the history of mankind – larger then the Noachian flood! The number of human beings slaughtered in this holocaust is staggering, ten times bigger than the yearly published abortion statistics would indicate.

It was provoked by an incident which happened a few years ago at a protest in Milwaukee. The pro-life presence that morning was robust! The pro-abortion presence was anemic. One of the pro-abortion activists approached a pro-life acquaintance of mine. On her face was a beaming look of victory, in spite of the weak showing of her side. Her confident smile seemed to say *"You people really think you're winning?"*

"Tell me," she asked my friend, "what is the difference between this (surgical abortion) and birth control?" She smugly walked back to the pro-abortion contingent, but her taunting words didn't leave with her. The implication was clear, *"You people really think you're winning, but we have won already!"*

And in many ways, she was right. We, the church – yes, we also in the pro-life activist segment – have participated in this holocaust and much blood stains our own hands.

There is an exam at the end of this article. I strongly urge everyone to read the article first and take the exam at the proper time.

Definitions

We need to define abortion first, surgical and chemical. The millions of innocents murdered ignorantly not only by those entering an abortion facility but by their own Christian parents demand a hearing. What is an abortion? Medical literature defines abortion as the expulsion or removal of an unborn child from the womb, at a stage of pregnancy when that child is incapable of independent survival. The biological reality is this: a living child who is not yet able to process oxygen into and eliminate carbon dioxide out of the blood supply cannot survive when detached from the mother's womb.The organs responsible for this exchange of gases are the lungs. These organs are usually not mature enough to do this until the 23 to 24 week mark in the infant's gestational development (though there have been some surprising exceptions recorded even at the 18 to 21 week level with the help of today's technology to assist their breathing). And of course any parent knows that a child cannot really survive independently for years to come. Mom's help will be needed far beyond the 24th week of child development!

In fact just ask any parent if a child can live on his own after birth. They might say it would be possible at age eight if he is a really resourceful child. Truth is, a child is not really able to live independent of parental support for a long time. Lung sufficiency is just one of a large number of things any person must have to be truly physically independent of his mother.

1973: Roe v. Wade

In 1973, the U.S. Supreme Court handed down its infamous *Roe v. Wade* decision. Writing the majority opinion, Justice Harry Blackmun depersonalized all "non-viable" (his term) pre-born children who, up until that time, were protected by law. The Court constructed an artificial trimester framework using what was then the general age, 28 weeks, at which the child might hope to survive outside the womb as the basis for their rationale. They also cited some cases as low as 24 weeks. This is near the start of the child's third trimester (the 26th week).

Roe purported to allow for protection of third trimester infants who generally could live on their own outside the womb, calling them "viable," but another decision promptly threw even those three months into the dumpster.

1973: Doe v. Bolton

To show how ridiculous the Court's thinking was in *Roe,* it took only thirty minutes for that same Court to destroy its own reasoning. In *Roe,* the Court had allowed any state to provide protection to any third trimester child. In a stunning move in a companion case handed down just thirty minutes later, the Court declared all children within the womb fair game. In *Doe v. Bolton,* the Court rejected its own grant of protection. In *Doe v. Bolton,* surgical assailants were given license to eliminate any pre-born child, no matter the age, by suction, poison, or by cutting them into small bits and evacuating them. It now became acceptable on a national level to murder an unborn child surgically, for any reason, with the proper credentials. Without those credentials, the abortionist could still be viewed as a murderer.

She has become known simply as "Baby Choice" after dying from burns caused by a toxic saline abortion.

More definitions

Medical literature pictures two kinds of abortion: spontaneous and induced.

A.) Spontaneous abortion

One example of a spontaneous abortion is what is commonly referred to as a miscarriage. If the child dies in the womb, usually a spontaneous abortion follows—the mother's body naturally expels the dead child from her womb. In other cases, such as a fall, illness, or accident to the mother, the child's placenta becomes separated from the wall of the uterus. This, too, can signal the mother's body to expel the child. As we have discussed earlier, the child's survival outside the womb depends on a common denominator of lung sufficiency. Poisons must be taken out of the child's blood, and oxygen must be brought in. (As of 1995, lung sufficiency is still a requirement for survival. As medical science and technology improve, some medical authorities see even this seemingly insurmountable hurdle overcome. Then the age where a child can be kept alive outside the mother's womb will plummet, perhaps to the first trimester threshold, thirteen weeks.)