wonders?)

The Irish resisted. The Chileans resisted Allende. The Germans resisted Versailles. The British are resisting today. The young people of Eastern Europe are resisting. The Palestinians have resisted the Jews for fifty years. Hell, the blacks in South Africa and the American South resisted the White man in a hundred different ways down through the centuries. Why do we seem to be the only people in history faced with an oppression that threatens our very existence, who seem unable to come up with any kind of pre-revolutionary passive resistance?

This is a subject which clearly is going to require its own special pamphlet or commentary, but I think if you folks consider the situation carefully, you will be able to figure out at least half a dozen ways each day that you can cause the System some kind of grief, and live to fight another day. My favorite kind of "monkeywrenching" has always been to sabotage affirmative action programs in the workplace by making affirmative action employees appear as such obvious incompetent idiots that even Politically Correct management has to do something about it (usually kick the monkoid upstairs), but there are so many other things you can do to monkeywrench the liberal status quo that the mind boggles. I commend to the attention of some of our longer-standing comrades the fabled adventures of the weird, wild, and wonderful denizen of Los Angeles who was dubbed the California Raisin, and some of the bizarre and comic politically incorrect activities he engaged in which were reported in NS Bulletin some years ago.

**The Protestant Ethic Strikes Again**

The South Carolina lady's second assertion, that I am a failure who should do everyone the kindness of disappearing and ceasing to haunt your feast like Banquo's ghost, is interesting for the "proof" she offers of my failure---specifically, the fact that after many years at the Movement trough I remain miserably poor and broke. I have run across this idea before; it is not an uncommon one in the Movement, but I don't think I have ever analyzed it, and I should do. There is a bearing on the whole situation in which the White race finds itself, as we end a century in disgrace and servitude which we began as undisputed masters of the world.

Some of you may be familiar with something televangelists refer to as "prosperity theology", the idea that if you show your faith in JEEEE-ZUS by an act of sacrifice (i.e. sending your life's savings to the televangelist) you will be rewarded with divine grace in the form of more material wealth than you donated. The TV preachers who peddle this twaddle usually cite the Biblical verse about casting your bread on the waters, etc. You have probably seen some of the more blatant and crass tub-thumpers reading out letters or introducing idiots with lobotomy grins to their viewers claiming, "Ah sent Brother Hogjowl a thousand dollars outen my kid's college fund to do the work of JEEEE-ZUS, and three weeks later ah won me a brand new Cadillac car in a raffle!" That kind of thing.

This is not a new idea. In fact, "prosperity theology" has a long history and entered into the cultural mindset of America from a very early age, beginning with the Puritan settlers of New England. It is sometimes known as the "Protestant ethic".

Boiled down to essentials and stripped of Biblical salad dressing, the idea is that *material wealth is somehow connected to divine grace or approval.* The corollaries are that A) the successful acquisition and retention of material wealth is a sign of divine favor and the righteousness of the individual who accumulates that wealth; and B) poverty is therefore a contra-indicator of lacking divine grace and favor, as well as the essentially sinful nature of the person who is poor. Christianity is often referred to as "pie in the sky when you die", but this very strong strain of "prosperity thology" which runs throughout the Protestant segment of the religion is in fact the reverse of that; it maintains that God passes out the the milk and cookies *here on earth,* in this life, to those who deserve them, and that the possession of oodles of this world's goodies signifies righteousness. I seem to recall a verse somewhere about rich men and passing a camel through the eye of a needle, but that one seems to have been mislaid by the Brother Hogjowl crew..

True Christianity, love it or hate it, was a faith which our ancestors found entirely compatible with the Aryan racial personality. In "prosperity theology", what we have is the first serious intrusion into traditional Christianity and Western culture of an essentially Jewish principle---the primacy of material things over things of the spirit, or Mammon as true Christians call it. The central idea is that God rewards man for virtue with *things,* with wealth, and that therefore anyone who has a lot of *things* must be a good guy. Interestingly, one of the foremost public advocates of this concept early in this century was none other than Woodrow Wilson's "mentor" Bernard Baruch, one of the most powerful Jews who ever lived, so we are able to catch a glimpse of the kosher connection we so seldom see in such matters.

In view of the fact that material wealth can be and often is acumulated in ways which are dishonest, cruel, treacherous and disgraceful, the folly of "prosperity theology" should be obvious. Yet no other idea is so deeply rooted in the American psyche as the belief that *poverty equals failure.* Ours is a completely materialistic culture; a hundred years of Judaic control of our money and communications and education have ensured that. Now, at the end of this century during the Age of Clinton, the last vestiges of moral standards or