

WAS CHRIST AN IGNORAMUS???

If the Revised Standard Version bible quotes Him correctly He must have been. In Matthew 6:27 where Christ asked: "Which of you by taking thought can add one cubit to his stature?" the revisers of the RSV bible changed this and made Christ to say, "Which of you by being anxious can add one cubit **TO HIS SPAN OF LIFE?**" Websters dictionary says the word, "stature" means height, especially of the human body. The phrase, **SPAN OF LIFE**, means, as everyone knows, the lifetime of a person. The phrase, "span of life" denotes length of time which is measured in days, months, years etc. while the word stature is linear measure and signifies inches, feet, yards, miles etc. One's stature (height) in our day is measured in feet and inches. In ancient time height was measured in cubits which is about 18 inches. The word cubit and the phrase, span of life, are **NOT** synonymous and cannot be properly substituted the one for the other as these revisers have done. Was Christ so ignorant that He, the omniscient One, made this glaring mistake? The King James Bible says He was **NOT**; The RSV says He **WAS**. We believe the King James Version is the correct one: "Which of you by taking thought can add one cubit (about 18 inches) to his stature?" This makes sense because one's stature" (or height) is measured in feet and inches. On the other hand, the span of life is never measured in feet and inches as indicated by these learned?? authors of the Revised Standard Version bible.

THE "SLIME OF PURSLANE"

In the Book of Job, chapter 6, verse 6 we read in the King James Version these words: "Can that which is unsavoury be eaten without salt? or is there any taste in the white of an egg?" The question concerns the tastelessness of food without any salt. Nearly everyone knows just how tasteless is the white of an egg without salt.

The RSV bible changes this to read: "Can that which is tasteless be eaten without salt? or is there any taste in the **SLIME OF PURSLANE?**" The Revised Standard Version bible makes the claim that it is a better version of the Bible than the King James because the language is simplified and made easier to understand. Does the reader think they have improved the "understandability" of the above passage. How many average readers know even, what the word "PURSLANE" means?

WHO WAS MANIFESTED IN THE FLESH?

In first Timothy 3:16, King James Version we read, "great is the mystery of Godliness; God was manifest in the flesh." In the RSV bible it reads like this: "Great indeed, we confess, is the mystery of our religion: he was manifested in the flesh---" Please note the changes the "revisers have made in this passage. They substitute the word, religion, for godliness. They do not say **WHO** was manifested in the flesh and in a footnote raises a question as to who it was that was manifested in the flesh.

These are only a few of hundreds of places in the RSV bible that, when carefully studied,