

**Acceptability and Use Determinants for Digital Health Technologies for HIV
Services: A Qualitative Study of Emergency Care Patients in Nairobi, Kenya**

By

Joshua Smith-Sreen

MPH, Boston University, 2020

BA, Boston University, 2019

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science
in the Division of Biology and Medicine

This thesis by *Joshua Smith-Sreen* is accepted in its present form
by the Division of Biology and Medicine as satisfying the thesis
requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Date _____

Adam Aluisio, MD
Director, Division of Global Emergency
Medicine

Date _____

Michael J. Mello, MD MPH
Director, Master of Science in Population Medicine

Approved by the Graduate Council

Date _____

David P. Lindstrom, Dean of the Graduate School

Acceptability and Use Determinants for Digital Health Technologies for HIV Services: A Qualitative Study of Emergency Care Patients in Nairobi, Kenya

Authors:

Joshua Smith-Sreen, MPH¹, Benson Timothy², Beatrice Ngila CO², John Wamutitu Maina MD³, Sankei Pirirei MD⁵, John Kinuthia MD, MPH⁴, David Bukusi MD³, Harriet Waweru³, Rose Bosire MD, MPH^{4,5}, Carey Farquhar, MD, MPH⁵, Michael J. Mello MD, MPH⁶, Adam R. Aluisio, MD, MSc⁶

¹Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, USA; ²Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya; ³Department of Research & Programs, Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁴Center for Public Health Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁵Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, US; ⁶Department of Emergency Medicine, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, USA

Corresponding author:

*Joshua Smith-Sreen, MPH
Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University
222 Richmond St, Providence, RI 02903
E-mail: joshua_smith-sreen@brown.edu
Telephone: +1 (571) 490 6618

Short title: Digital health technologies for HIV among emergency care patients

Keywords: Digital health, HIV testing services, Kenya

Competing interests and sources of funding: None of the authors conflicts of interest. The contents of this manuscript are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of affiliated institutions or funding bodies.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions: JS and ARA were responsible for conceptualization, development of methodology, acquisition of software, project administration and resources. BW, JS and BN executed data curation. JS and BW were responsible for investigation and formal analysis. JS and BW writing the original draft. All authors were involved in review and editing of the manuscript draft.

Funding: The research was supported by Framework Grant in Global Health at Brown University. ARA was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant number: K23AI145411).

Abstract

Digital health technologies (DHTs) to improve access to HTS (HIV testing services) may enable reaching higher-risk populations missed by current programming, including young adults < 25 years. In 2017, Kenya's Ministry of Health introduced BeSure™, a DHT providing information on HIV, self-testing, and facility geo-location. Given increased risks for HIV among injured populations, this study aimed to assess the acceptability of BeSure™ as an example DHT for enhancing HTS in this population at a Kenyan emergency department (ED). Purposive sampling was used and after providing a brief description of the tool to participants, in-depth interviews (IDIs) were completed using a semi-structured guide between August and November 2023. Deductive and inductive analysis were applied using a codebook based on a published framework for healthcare intervention acceptability, using core themes of affect, burden, ethicality, coherence, opportunity cost, and perceived effectiveness. Among 24 participants, the median age was 25, half were female, and 58% had achieved a level of education of secondary school or below. Few participants (21%) were aware of BeSure™ prior to completing data collection. Participants highlighted barriers to awareness, including the lack of widespread marketing of the tool and apathy towards health-related matters, though strategic advertisement within healthcare encounters and using social media platforms including TikTok and Facebook (especially for young adult participants) could facilitate awareness. Participants underscored barriers to potential use, including low access to technology in rural communities, persisting stigma towards HIV and low perceived HIV risk (especially among older participants). Participants of all age groups examined found the tool widely acceptable across the predetermined domains. These qualitative data highlight the acceptability DHTs for HTS enhancement among injured populations in Nairobi, Kenya. They also underscore the lack of awareness of BeSure™ among this population with a higher-risk HIV profile, suggesting that

67 targeted advertisement, demand creation, stigma consideration may be critical to the
68 implementation of these technologies.

69 Introduction

70 HIV and physical injury levy significant health burdens in low-and middle-income countries
71 (LMICs); with high incidences of both in African nations [1]. Concurrently those most likely to
72 need emergency care for injuries in general are persons at risk for HIV acquisition, including young
73 adults and males, commercial sex workers, men who have sex with men, and people who inject
74 drugs and transgender persons (also known as key populations at risk for HIV) [2-6]. Studies have
75 also found that injured populations have higher HIV prevalence than the general population in
76 African countries like Kenya [7, 8], demonstrating the potential utility of emergency care settings
77 for HIV testing services (HTS). A prospective study completed in 2021 found that <6% of injured
78 persons treated at the Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH) emergency department (ED) were offered
79 HTS, but among those tested ~10% were newly diagnosed persons living with HIV (PLHIV)[9]
80 [10]. Although universal screening for HTS is recommended during health facility encounters in
81 Kenya, delivery in EDs has not been achieved [11, 12].

82 Digital health technologies (DHTs) to improve access to HTS, and specifically HIV self-testing
83 programming, have been designed and implemented with success globally [13]. DHTs in Kenya
84 may be particularly useful in contemporary HIV prevention programs, as the epidemic becomes
85 increasingly concentrated in higher-risk populations that have more barriers to interfacing with
86 health services and requiring more innovative targeting[14]. Such higher-risk populations may be
87 presenting more to EDs, making these venues appropriate settings to explore targeted HIV
88 programming [15]. In Kenya, the Ministry of Health has highlighted priority populations for HIV
89 testing and prevention efforts including young adults aged 18-24 years [16]. HIV prevention
90 programming in the region has neglected this age group [17], and young adults aged 18-24 are
91 estimated to account for 38% new infections in Kenya. As such, digital technologies implemented

in settings that provide care to persons with higher-risk profiles for HIV [15], like the ED, may have the potential to direct resources to priority populations such as young adults. However, no prior studies have examined such technologies specifically within emergency care populations in Kenya. Indeed, understanding such tools align with the digital health policy landscape in Kenya, where the Digital Health Act of 2023 established a new agency with goals of integrating and governing e-health into routine service delivery[18].

One example technology in Kenya is BeSureTM, a HIV-focused mobile and web-based digital health technology. Kenya's National AIDS and STI Control Programme (NASCOP), in collaboration with local and international partners, implemented a DHT entitled BeSureTM in 2017 to increase access to HTS and treatment, though anecdotally, its utilization has been low [19]. BeSureTM was maintained as an open-access resource by Kenya's NASCOP through mid-2023, at which time it was taken offline for redesign and updating. [19]. The mobile-based application and associated web resource provides HIV testing and treatment information, map-based locations for HIV care sites, and guidance around test results throughout Kenya. The BeSureTM interface offers multiple follow-up options including toll-free calls, text messages and WhatsAppTM to connect clients with trained clinical staff to assist with self-testing understanding of results. Despite the potential of technologies like BeSureTM to streamline HIV prevention and testing, characterization of their use, integration into health services, and their impact on clinical outcomes has been limited [20]. This study aimed to explore the acceptability of the BeSureTM technology as an example DHT for enhancing HTS among emergency care patients in Nairobi, and to identify determinants to the use of DHTs for HTS in this care setting.

114 Methods

115 Design and Sampling

116 The target population included adults who presented to the KNH emergency department for
117 physical injury, enrolled in the parent study which aimed to assess implementation of the HIV
118 Enhanced Access Testing in Emergency Department (HEATED) program in Nairobi, Kenya
119 which commenced before sub-sampling began [21]. This program was a institutional and
120 behavioral intervention aiming to improve HIV testing in the emergency department among
121 injured patients. Among patients who consented to follow-up contact,urposive sampling was
122 applied to yield equal distribution across gender and age strata (younger or older than 25 years)
123 among the sub-sample of participants who had consented to follow-up. These strata were selected
124 given possible differences in perceptions across gender, and because young adults are a priority
125 population for HIV services in Kenya [16]. Inclusion criteria for the parent study were applied,
126 including being older than 18 years old, non-pregnant, not prisoners of the state and those who had
127 consented to further contact in the parent study. Additionally, only participants that reported access
128 to a smartphone were included in interviews to enable fruitful conversation around digital health
129 technologies including smartphone-based applications. Participant sampling from parent study
130 population using eligibility criteria further described in flow diagram in Figure 1. Selection bias
131 introduced by this eligibility criteria is acknowledged, but findings should still be generalizable
132 given high smartphone penetrance across Kenya, and that clients with smartphones are the
133 application's target audience.

Data collection

Eligible participants were randomly selected from the sub-sample, then screened for consent and enrolment via telephone. Only patients enrolled in the parent study who had provided consent in taking part in follow-up contact were approached for the qualitative study. Consenting patient participants were scheduled for an in-depth interview (IDI) at a convenient and confidential location at the hospital. Participants were compensated with 1,000KSH.

Before beginning the IDI, written consent was obtained where the research question was described, and participants were provided a pamphlet of the BeSureTM application in English and Kiswahili (Supplementary Material 1). As the BeSureTM tool was offline in 2023, the actual application was unavailable for use during pre-planned data collection for this study, and participants were interviewed on its concept after description of its features. A semi-structured guide was developed based on Sekhon and colleagues' prospective theoretical acceptability framework (TFA), psychology-grounded constructs developed systematically based on scoping review of studies evaluating healthcare intervention acceptability, effectiveness and tolerability [22, 23]. The guide included sections on barriers and facilitators to awareness of digital health technologies and determinants of use of the BeSureTM as an example tool (Supplementary Material 2). A section was also included to understand views on digital health technologies in general, to understand perceptions of the broader landscape and contextualize responses about BeSureTM as an example tool (Supplementary Material 2). Questions were crafted to gather perceptions on DHTs in general and the broader landscape, to address threats to generalizability caused by the example tool being offline at the time of data collection

157 IDIs were undertaken between August and December 2023, facilitated by a Kenyan research team
158 member with training in qualitative methods and fluent in both English and Kiswahili, with the
159 addition of a notetaker in the room with the participant. Interview debriefs were reviewed by the
160 study team within one day after the interview to determine additions to the codebook or challenges
161 in data collection. Interviews were conducted until thematic saturation was achieved, as
162 determined by interviewer, notetaker and data analysis team after reviewing debriefs based on
163 constant comparison to existing codes, until at least three debriefs had no new themes identified.
164 A unique identification number was used for all qualitative data collection and analysis activities.
165 Interview recordings were translated to English and transcribed manually. Transcripts were
166 imported into Dedoose Version 9 software for management and coding.

167 Coding and analysis

168 A codebook was developed using *a priori* themes for deductive analysis. These themes were
169 generated based on hypothesized barriers and facilitators to use of the tool, as well as Sekhon and
170 colleagues' prospective theoretical acceptability framework (TFA), psychology-grounded
171 constructs developed systematically based on scoping review of studies evaluating healthcare
172 intervention acceptability, effectiveness and tolerability [22, 23]. Themes incorporated into the
173 codebook included affect, burden, ethicality intervention coherence, opportunity cost, and
174 perceived effectiveness. Each of these themes represented a parent node to which codes were
175 attributed in the analysis, and each parent node had an operational definition to be used by coders.
176 Affect was defined as positive or negative feelings expressed about the digital health tool; burden
177 was defined as any description of high or low perceived effort in using the digital health tool;
178 ethicality was defined as the digital health tool aligning well with patient values; intervention
179 coherence was defined as recognition of the digital health tool's intended outcomes of improve

access to HTS; opportunity cost was defined as losses attributed to use of the digital health tool; and perceived effectiveness was defined as the digital health tool being expected to achieve improved access to HTS.

Inductive and deductive thematic analysis was conducted on IDI transcripts. *A priori* themes were extracted based on the original codebook and categorized according to the sampling strata. Themes emerging during the coding process were added to the codebook for inductive analysis. For these themes, study personnel would report if themes emerged while coding a transcript, and themes would be discussed between two study members until consensus was reached before being added to the codebook. One quarter of transcripts were coded independently by two trained research study personnel and concordance analysis was conducted for internal validity of the codebook. Once >85% concordance was achieved, one trained research study personnel completed coding for the subsequent transcripts.

Ethics

The study was approved by the University of Nairobi ethics and research committee (Protocol Number: P667/08/2022) and the institutional review board of Rhode Island Hospital (Protocol number: 1953237-1).

197 Results

198 Participant demographic profiles

199 This qualitative study sampled 24 participants who had been treated in the KNH ED. The sample
200 comprised 12 males and 12 female participants aged between 18 and 42. More than two-thirds of
201 the participants were aged between 18 and 29 (n=17, 70.8%). All participants reported use and
202 familiarity with smartphones and mobile applications. Participants provided their insights and
203 perceptions regarding digital health applications in HIV-related services, particularly the use of
204 BeSure™ application to enhance testing and other services in Kenya. Table 1 shows the
205 demographic profiles of the participants.

206
207 Participants aged greater than 24 were more likely to be working (100%) compared to younger
208 participants (50%). The majority of participants had achieved a secondary school level of
209 education (54%). In terms of clinical history, the majority of participants did not have a primary
210 care physician (67%), had previously been tested for HIV (83%), did not have any medical
211 conditions (87.5%), and had not had condomless sex in the last 6 months (54%). The majority of
212 participants were aware that HIV self-tests exist (79%).

213 Facilitators and barriers to awareness

214 Systemic and structural

215 The majority of the participants reported being unaware of the BeSure™ DHT (80%) but commented on facilitators and barriers to
216 awareness. Many participants highlighted that they would have been aware of the digital health tool with further advertisement. In
217 terms of preferred mode of advertisement, commonly raised was promotion at health facilities where patients received care (Code
218 frequency (CF) = 42) and social media marketing of the tool (CF=41). All young adult participants (100%) specifically highlighted the
219 use of social media platforms, such as Instagram™ and TikTok™, as a useful mode (compared to 75% of older participants).

220 *“You know in this generation, it works better if they could take the whole aspect to Instagram, TikTok and campaign about it;*
221 *talk to students and the elderly and let them know about [the tool]”* (Participant 23, Female 23 years old)

222

223 Suboptimal advertisement was highlighted frequently as a barrier to awareness (CF=9). Multiple participants raised concern around
224 marketing to patients presenting for acute injury given the difficulty engaging or remembering in this setting.

225 *“It’s somehow hard to remember [the app] because at that time [in the hospital] I was in pain such that I was straining to*
226 *answer the questions”* (Participant 9, Male 39 years old)

227

228 Others reported that healthcare settings may provide effective venues for this education and awareness (CF=42), and simply require
229 strategic targeting regarding where in a facility (for example, in the wards), when in the care process (for example, after treatment and
230 stabilization), who on the care team (for example, providers actively involved in care or department heads) and the how the
231 communication is happening (for example, providers taking a gentle approach to patients).

232 *“[patients] will have to stay for some time, so you guys can talk to them during that time while they are in the wards”*
233 (Participant 21, Male 25 years old)

234
235 *“when someone is still at the A&E, first thing is they’re scared and terrified. So I think, it would be better to actually walk arid*
236 *the wards and try create awareness around there. I believe most patients in the wards have recovered from their initial shock,*
237 *stabilized a little and can listen or pay attention”* (Participant 2, Female 23 years old)

238
239 *“you can reach them through the dispensaries in those areas so that before or after treatment, they are told about the app and*
240 *what it can do”* (Participant 18, Male 23 years old)

241
242 *“you can appoint people to create awareness in different times so that even when the patient wakes up even from coma, there*
243 *will be someone to educate them”* (Participant 14, Male 25 years old)

244

245 *“Yeah, in the hospital you can use the departments to talk to people, maybe by the head of departments, though it might get a*
246 *little hard in the casualty department because maybe someone is in pain, but some will agree to talk. But I think in the hospital,*
247 *the department can help by telling the members of staff. Or the nurses who are there nursing them, they are the right people, or*
248 *the doctors. You can use them because as they administer treatments and tests, they can be able to talk to the patient, and they*
249 *will be ready since they are in pain, they will just listen to the doctor”* (Participant 6, Female 36 years old)

250
251 Some participants indicated that structural or government-level failures create barriers in awareness to such applications, and that the
252 responsibility may lie beyond healthcare institutions, at the systems level. On the other hand, one participant shared that high-level
253 government intervention would not effectively address the lack of awareness of such tools, alluding to a lack of trust in the Kenyan
254 government.

255 *“maybe it’s the health sector that is quiet about it; the blame is on the health sector”* (Participant 7, Female 29 years old)

256
257 *“I think we don’t need any external input, because if the government gets involved people will think it’s a scam and run away*
258 *from it...we don’t need the politicians to chip in.* (Participant 23, Female 23 years old)

260 Interpersonal

261 Interpersonal barriers to awareness such as apathy towards health-related topics were reported (CF=9), limiting interest or attention
262 towards digital technologies focused on HIV prevention. Older adults highlighted this apathy more frequently (33% compared to 17%
263 in young adults).

264 *“others would see no importance in [the digital health tool], they’ll be like....so what?”* (Participant 6, Male 36 years old)

265 Facilitators and barriers to use

266 None of the interviewed participants had used the BeSure™ DHT, but participants commented on potential barriers and facilitators to
267 using it as an example digital health technology.

268 Systemic and structural

269 Access to technology was the most frequently reported theme mediating potential use of a digital health tool (CF=19 as a facilitator,
270 CF=30 as a barrier). Owning a smartphone was not frequently reported as a barrier, and many participants highlighted how prevalent
271 smartphones are in Kenya. Participants specifically highlighted how digital literacy was common among young populations, and high
272 smartphone penetrance was reported to help surpass systemic barriers to use. Rather than access to smartphones themselves,
273 participants reported access to data and the internet as a barrier to using a digital health technology. Additionally, while young
274 populations were highlighted as digitally literate, rural populations were highlighted as a demographic that may face barriers to use of
275 a digital health technology.

276 *“the youth bracket mostly and all of them know how to operate a phone”* (Participant 3, Male 24 years old)

“most people are illiterate, and some can have hard time going through notice boards and things like that, but almost everyone nowadays owns a smartphone” (Participant 14, Male 25 years old)

“for somebody who has a smartphone and somebody who has the space but doesn’t have the data might have a challenge”
(Participant 4, Female 23 years old)

“yes, people living in rural areas who are not used to operating smartphones [will face challenges]” (Participant 17, Female 23 years old)

Interpersonal

Interpersonal influences and beliefs were also reported as mediators to potential use of such a tool. Stigma concerns (CF=16) were raised as interpersonal barriers to use of a phone-based tool focused on HIV, even after being primed by the data collector about the BeSure™ as an example DHT (Supplementary Material 2). Apathy (CF=14) was reported as another interpersonal barrier to use wherein there may not be interest in engaging with a health-related digital tool even if made aware.

“HIV is associated with a lot of stigma and it would be hard for people to engage in such issues publicly” (Participant 20, Male 22 years old)

294 *“No, I don’t think [internet access is an issue]. Because people look for bundles from anywhere when they want to do other*
295 *things. So, [internet access] is not an excuse; maybe some people don’t use because they don’t want to”* (Participant 9, Male
296 39 years old)

297

298 Compared to older participants, young participants reported high perceived HIV risk as a facilitator to use of such a tool (CF=2).

299 Conversely, older participants more commonly reported low perceived HIV risk as a barrier to use of an HIV-related tool (CF=4).

300 *“Yeah, it’s good because some of us have trust issues when it comes to our partners”* (Participant 25, Female 20 years old)

301

302 *“Maybe most of the people are afraid of knowing their status. It’s like you get out there and tell someone you want to test them*
303 *for HIV, they will back off”* (Participant 9, Male 39 years old)

304 Acceptability

305 Acceptability themes extracted and coded from participant interviews are displayed in Figure 2. After learning about BeSure™ as an
306 example of a digital health technology for HIV service enhancement (Supplementary Material 2), the majority of participants (CF=47,
307 75% participants) made positive remarks about the tool, indicating their positive affect. More young adults made positive comments
308 (83%) about the tool than did older participants (67%).

309

310 “To me personally...when I have this app it would be easier to identify pharmacies... I think it’s a good app because it does
311 not disclose your identity, and you’ll only need to contact the professional advice you on what to do” (Participant 5, Male 22
312 years old)

313

314 “[BeSure] is a good one, it can really help sometimes you want to know about your status or maybe you have the virus, it can
315 help, advice and encourage you and I love it” (Participant 19, Female 31 years old)

316

317 The tool aligned well with participant ethicality or values (CF=36, 54% participants) around healthcare for HIV, specifically including
318 privacy, anonymity, convenience and cost. Participants reported how this digital tool for HIV testing addresses persisting HIV stigma
319 by preserving anonymity and privacy. Others alluded to how the digital tool could help with the fear of being diagnosed with HIV in a

320 formal patient encounter, or how it could help empower by providing resources to connect to care. Similar proportions of participants
321 reported that the tool aligned with their values across younger and older participants.

322 *“I would use of because of the privacy; since there are people who HIV is not a big deal to them and there are others who*
323 *would not like people to know if they have the virus” (Participant 22, Female 21 years old)*

324

325 *“maybe after testing I find out that maybe the results are not good; so, maybe you can go back to the app again and talk to a*
326 *professional. So, it comes in handy and help someone without having to be told or forced. You just see it yourself. For I see it*
327 *as very helpful at that point.” (Participant 4, 23 years old)*

328

329 *“The app is open to everyone and it’s good because of the privacy; once you get to test yourself and know your status, it will*
330 *only be between you and your family; another thing is that, the app can encourage me and remind me I’m not alone; so, the*
331 *app can give you strength” (Participant 24, Female 31 years old)*

332 Participants had high coherence of the tool (CF=50, 83% participants), and were able to explain how the BeSure™ application could
333 be used to achieve its aim of enhancing HIV service delivery. Participants were able to report specific features of the tool and outline
334 its function. High coherence was observed with similar proportions across younger and older participants.

335

336 “I would follow up on my [HIV] status, because sometimes you can miss your appointments” (Participant 25, Female 20 years
337 old)

338
339 “there are counselors, so if I have issues, [BeSure] allows you to call someone and talk to them” (Participant 17, Female 28
340 years old)

341
342 “even be able to educate others that it’s not mandatory that you to the hospital to get tested but you can do it for yourself and
343 guide them [with BeSure]” (Participant 6, Female 36 years old)

344
345 The majority of participants considered the application to have low technical burden for use (CF=42, 58% participants). After learning
346 about BeSure™, participants mentioned it would be easy to learn how to use and operate daily. One participant highlighted it
347 accessibility and that its video resources would enable illiterate clients to use the tool.

348 “As long as you I am someone who is used to operating a smartphone, I don’t think it can be hard to me” (Participant 17,
349 Female 28 years old)

350
351 “there are video resources that they can watch, step-by-step” (Participant 14, 25 years old)

353 Few participants (CF=3, 8% participants) made comments that specifically signified a low opportunity cost for use of the tool. That
354 being said, two female participants highlighted savings generated by the tool in access to HIV testing services.

355 *“it is cheaper, instead of travelling to the hospital, I could just buy data and know more about [the services]”* (Participant 6,
356 Female 36 years old)

357

358 *“it is easier than telling [my partner] to miss work to go get tested”* (Participant 2, Female 23 years old)

359

360 The majority of participants reported high perceived effectiveness of the tool (CF=17, 58% participants), highlighting how the tool
361 could achieve a particular health or social outcome. Many participants specifically mentioned how the digital tool could be used to
362 enhance HIV testing. High perceived effectiveness of the tool was reported by the vast majority among young participants (75%) and
363 less than half of older participants (42%). Of note however, older participants mentioned the effectiveness of the tool to empower
364 community access to care beyond just the individual.

365 *“it conserves people’s privacy and so makes it...easier to get tested”* (Participant 17, 28 years old)

366 *refer someone else to the nearest place where they can get the services they need”* (Participant 16, 42 years old)

367 Comparison to digital health landscape

368 In general, participants were not aware of digital health technologies. When probed, participants shared diverse areas in which they
369 had encountered DHTs in Kenya, including tools for pharmaceuticals, emergency services, electronic medical records and wearable
370 technologies. Two young adult participants mentioned MyDawa™ [24], a pharmaceutical delivery service. Another young male
371 highlighted a Red Cross application, which was primarily for emergency medical services. Another highlighted the KenyaEMR
372 platform, used for health data storage. Two female participants mentioned wearable health technologies, but that these technologies
373 were not widespread in Kenya. One participant specifically highlighted that exposure to DHT is low in Kenya, and that the country is
374 not taking advantage of existing technologies.

375 *“With MyDawa we ordered some medicine and was delivered [by MyDawa]”* (Participant 4, Male 23 years old)

376

377 *“[Red Cross app] is mainly for booking; maybe you have an event and you need a team of first aid”* (Participant 14, Male 25
378 years old)

379

380 *“‘Kenya EMR’ is an online platform where where health data is entered for clients”* (Participant 16, Female 42 years old)

381

382 *“I see people can be able to monitor their health especially those with disorders such as pressure, when they wear those smart
383 buds”* (Participant 7, 29 years old)

384

385 *“So, we have the gadgets and we don’t know how powerful they are”* (Participant 23, Female 23 years old)

386

387 Discussion

388 The primary aim of this study was to explore perceptions around acceptability of digital health technologies for HIV testing service
389 enhancement, using BeSureTM as an example digital health technology, and to identify determinants of theoretical use of such a
390 technology. Key findings included that there was widespread acceptability of the tool across multiple constructs; there was widespread
391 lack of awareness of the example tool and other tools, perceived to be driven by inadequate and inappropriate marketing, structural
392 barriers, and apathy towards health-related matters; there were several barriers to participants' theoretical use of the tool including cost
393 of and access to internet services and HIV-associated stigma; and that participants had a limited knowledge of and exposure to digital
394 health tools in their everyday lives.

395
396 Participants found DHT in general, and BeSureTM specifically, to be highly acceptable for HIV testing enhancement across age groups.
397 Applying Sekhon and Cartwright's TFA constructs [22], participants reported a positive affect towards the tool, high coherence of its
398 utility, and perceived it as effective, with a low burden for use and in alignment with their value system. These findings reinforce
399 studies showing widespread acceptability of patient-facing DHTs in Kenya among vulnerable groups [25-28], though literature from
400 the region assessing DHT use in emergency care populations specifically was limited. EDs may be a venue to reach 'the last mile'
401 with HIV programming in LMICs given their contact with patients of higher-risk profiles [15], and so widespread acceptability of a
402 DHT for HTS within an emergency care population supports their potential.

403 Furthermore, acceptability of DHTs in this subpopulation align well with current Kenyan national health policy direction. DHT use in
404 this subpopulation may support Ministry of Health’s 2023-2030 HIV prevention strategies, including implementing a precision
405 approach based on vulnerability and risk as well as integration of HIV prevention into essential services, namely emergency care [29].
406 Our findings further support the goal of Kenya’s recently-established Digital Health Agency in characterizing the integration e-health
407 solutions into delivery of health services [18]. Our findings further highlight key areas to address to better harness the potential of
408 DHTs in this setting, highlighting the need for continued implementation studies of such tools assessing their adoption and ease of
409 integration into routine health services and national systems.

410
411 Specifically, participants highlighted key barriers to address and facilitators to leverage for theoretical use of BeSure as an example
412 DHT for HTS. The lack of widespread and strategic marketing limited awareness of the tool, and participants highlighted that
413 promoting such a tool within health facilities could be effective, but should strategically consider timing, location and which personnel
414 are involved in this promotion. While there is theoretical value to leveraging emergency care venues for HIV prevention interventions
415 given emergency patients tend to be at higher risk of HIV [15], participants highlighted the challenges of DHT promotion within this
416 important venue, given the acuity of a patient’s illness or injury.

417
418 Notably, Yew et al. recently published systematic review identifying barriers and facilitators to DHT implementation in LMIC health
419 systems and similarly report the importance of incorporating DHTs during moments where patients and staff can meaningfully engage

420 in the technology [30]. Significant work has been done in the field of demand creation for HTS globally, and lessons learned can be
421 applied to increasing awareness and use of digital tools for HTS in emergency care. For example, evidence-based strategies like
422 mobilization, couple- and motivation-oriented counseling, peer-led interventions, conditional fixed value incentives, and SMS could
423 be applied [31]. Qualitative data from participants highlighted how apathy related to health would be a barrier to building awareness
424 and use of digital health, and perhaps targeted user design or structured incentives could help as have been identified in related studies
425 [32]. Addressing barriers and leveraging facilitators identified in this study while learning from previous work could improve future
426 implementation of DHTs for HTS in emergency care populations.

427
428 While digital literacy and access to smartphones were not emphasized in this sample of urban young adults, cost of data and internet
429 were. These findings underscore the need for sustainable funding for digital health interventions such as those described in the
430 country's recently passed Digital Health Act [18], as well as structural interventions to increase access to the internet across the
431 country such as Starlink's expansion in the country in 2024 [33]. Stigma was highlighted as a key modifier to use of the BeSure™
432 technology, as some participants preferred the tool given its protection of user privacy and anonymity while others feared that use of
433 the tool could be stigmatizing itself. This duality emphasizes the importance of continuing to acknowledge, study and address
434 persisting stigma in HIV testing interventions in Kenya [34]. Additionally, perhaps a DHT with a broader focus beyond just HIV may
435 help maintaining desired privacy, as has been demonstrated in an assessment of a digital health intervention among Kenyan female sex
436 workers [35].

437

438 Several thematic differences were observed between older and younger participants that may assist in better targeting tools like
439 BeSure™. In the sample, more young adult participants made positive comments about the tool and the vast majority perceived the
440 tool be very acceptable. Young adult participants were more likely to mention the use of social media, including TikTok, Instagram
441 and Facebook, as a facilitator,, reflecting high smartphone and internet penetrance (~96% population) in Kenya [33]. They were also
442 more likely to report perceiving HIV risk as a facilitator, which correlates with epidemiologic trends of the increasing incidence of
443 new infections among Kenyan youth [1]. Targeting young adults with a digital HTS tool in Kenyan emergency care may therefore
444 best implemented leveraging social media platforms [36, 37]. No clear thematic differences were observed across genders in this
445 study, suggesting the need for further exploration into the targeting or perception of these technologies across genders within this
446 subpopulation.

447

448 Our study had several limitations. Firstly, BeSure™, was not accessible during the data collection period, limiting our ability to get
449 real-time user experience data and thus ecological validity. However, crafting of the interview guide took this into account, and our
450 questions assessed awareness and use of BeSure™ as an example DHT, and focused on the broader digital health landscape,
451 improving generalizability of our findings. Additionally, conducting such acceptability research while a tool is offline is not
452 uncommon in digital health, where findings can inform successful tool relaunch. Additionally, selection bias was present given we
453 sampled from a population of urban youth with significant digital literacy and familiarity with access to smartphones. This degree of

454 digital literacy may not be present across all Kenyan populations, though given the high internet penetrance should not significantly
455 limit the generalizability of our findings. Additionally, the target user audience of BeSure includes those with smartphones. While
456 differences in perceptions between older and younger adult participants emerged among participants, more than 70% of participants
457 were younger than 30 years old, and so understanding the acceptability of this tool among those greater than 45 years was limited.
458 Most emergency care patients in LMICs are youth [38], so our findings are likely generalizable for DHTs implemented for these
459 patients. Additionally, per the design of this study, participants were enrolled from a broader study wherein they had been exposed to
460 an HIV testing intervention in an ED [21], potentially limiting generalizability of our findings across groups who had not received this
461 intervention, though the vast majority of participants interviewed did not remember undergoing the HIV testing intervention.
462
463 Ultimately, there was widespread acceptance of the BeSureTM tool in specific and DHTs for HTS enhancement within emergency care
464 populations. The landscape for DHTs for HIV programming is expanding in Kenya, and it is important to understand acceptability,
465 identify determinants of use and assess impact of such technologies ahead of scaled implementation. As the HIV epidemic continues
466 to become concentrated in sub-populations in Kenya and other countries in the Africa [1], viable digital health technologies like
467 BeSureTM may be useful in targeting resources for precision prevention of HIV.

References

1. UNAIDS. 2024 global AIDS report — The Urgency of Now: AIDS at a Crossroads. 2024.
2. National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey : 2010 summary report, (2011).
3. Reisner SL, Poteat T, Keatley J, Cabral M, Mothopeng T, Dunham E, et al. Global health burden and needs of transgender populations: a review. *The Lancet*. 2016;388(10042):412-36. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)00684-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00684-X).
4. Valencia J, Alvaro-Meca A, Troya J, Gutiérrez J, Ramón C, Rodríguez A, et al. Gender-based vulnerability in women who inject drugs in a harm reduction setting. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(3):e0230886. Epub 20200330. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230886. PubMed PMID: 32226042; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC7105126.
5. Forson PK, Oduro G, Bonney J, Cobbold S, Sarfo-Frimpong J, Boyd C, et al. Emergency department admissions Kumasi, Ghana: Prevalence of alcohol and substance use, and associated trauma. *J Addict Dis*. 2020;38(4):520-8. United Nations Population Fund, 2012.
6. Aboagye RG, Mireku DO, Nsiah JJ, Ahinkorah BO, Frimpong JB, Hagan JE, et al. Prevalence and psychosocial factors associated with serious injuries among in-school adolescents in eight sub-Saharan African countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):853. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13198-6.
7. Aluisio AR, Rege S, Stewart BT, Kinuthia J, Levine AC, Mello MJ, et al. Prevalence of HIV-Seropositivity and Associated Impact on Mortality among Injured Patients from Low-and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Curr HIV Res*. 2017;15(5):307-17. doi: 10.2174/1570162X15666170920112743. PubMed PMID: 28933280.
8. A. Poxon ML, M. McDermott, A. Kariri, R. Kaul, J. Kimani. Emergency Departments as Under-Utilized Venues to Provide HIV Prevention Services to Female Sex Workers in Nairobi, Kenya. *ResearchSquare*. 2022. Epub November 22, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2274148/v1>.
9. Aluisio AR, Sugut J, Kinuthia J, Bosire R, Ochola E, Ngila B, et al. Assessment of standard HIV testing services delivery to injured persons seeking emergency care in Nairobi, Kenya: A prospective observational study. *PLOS Global Public Health*. 2022;2(10):e0000526. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0000526.
10. Hansoti B, Stead D, Eisenberg A, Mvundaba N, Mwinnyaa G, Patel EU, et al. A Window Into the HIV Epidemic from a South African Emergency Department. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2019;35(2):139-44. Epub 20181113. doi: 10.1089/aid.2018.0127. PubMed PMID: 30215268; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC6360397.
11. Peltzer K, Matseke G. Determinants of HIV testing among young people aged 18 - 24 years in South Africa. *Afr Health Sci*. 2013;13(4):1012-20. Epub 2014/06/19. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v13i4.22. PubMed PMID: 24940326; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC4056506.
12. Delany-Moretlwe S, Cowan FM, Busza J, Bolton-Moore C, Kelley K, Fairlie L. Providing comprehensive health services for young key populations: needs, barriers and gaps. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2015;18(2 Suppl 1):19833. Epub 20150226. doi: 10.7448/ias.18.2.19833. PubMed PMID: 25724511; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC4344539.

13. McGuire M, de Waal A, Karellis A, Janssen R, Engel N, Sampath R, et al. HIV self-testing with digital supports as the new paradigm: A systematic review of global evidence (2010–2021). *eClinicalMedicine*. 2021;39. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101059.
14. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. IN DANGER: UNAIDS Global AIDS Update 2022. Geneva: 2022.
15. Smith-Sreen J, Bosire R, Farquhar C, Katz DA, Kimani J, Masyuko S, et al. Leveraging emergency care to reach key populations for 'the last mile' in HIV programming: a waiting opportunity. *Aids*. 2023;37(15):2421-4. Epub 20231116. doi: 10.1097/qad.0000000000003709. PubMed PMID: 37965739; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC10655840.
16. National AIDS/STI Control Programme (NASCOP). National Implementation Guidelines for HIV and STI Programming Among Young Key Populations 2018.
17. Boothe MAS, Sema Baltazar C, Sathane I, Raymond HF, Fazito E, Temmerman M, et al. Young key populations left behind: The necessity for a targeted response in Mozambique. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(12):e0261943. Epub 20211231. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261943. PubMed PMID: 34972172; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC8719759.
18. Reporting NCfL. The Digital Health Act. Nairobi: 2023.
19. NASCOP. BeSure Kenya. Available from: <https://www.besure.co.ke/>.
20. World Health Organization. Monitoring and evaluating digital health interventions. 2016.
21. Aluisio AR, Smith-Sreen J, Offorjebe A, Maina W, Pirirei S, Kinuthia J, et al. Assessment of the HIV Enhanced Access Testing in the Emergency Department (HEATED) program in Nairobi, Kenya: a quasi-experimental prospective study. *HIV Res Clin Pract*. 2024;25(1):2403958. Epub 20240918. doi: 10.1080/25787489.2024.2403958. PubMed PMID: 39290079.
22. Sekhon M, Cartwright M, Francis JJ. Acceptability of healthcare interventions: an overview of reviews and development of a theoretical framework. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2017;17(1):88. doi: 10.1186/s12913-017-2031-8.
23. Sekhon M, Cartwright M, Francis JJ. Acceptability of health care interventions: A theoretical framework and proposed research agenda. *British Journal of Health Psychology*. 2018;23(3):519-31. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12295>.
24. MyDawa. Available from: <https://mydawa.com/>.
25. Mills R, Krong R, Kithinji F, Baraitser P. Digital training for self-injectable contraceptives: a feasibility and acceptability pilot study. *BMJ Sex Reprod Health*. 2024. Epub 20240819. doi: 10.1136/bmjsex-2023-202197. PubMed PMID: 39160059.
26. Soehnchen C, Weirauch V, Schmook R, Henningsen M, Meister S. An acceptance analysis of a sexual health education digital tool in resource-poor regions of Kenya: an UTAUT based survey study. *BMC Womens Health*. 2023;23(1):676. Epub 20231219. doi: 10.1186/s12905-023-02839-6. PubMed PMID: 38114976; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC10729446.
27. Kiburi SK, Paruk S, Kwobah EK, Chiliza B. Exploring user experiences of a text message-delivered intervention among individuals on opioid use disorder treatment in Kenya: A qualitative study. *PLOS Digit Health*. 2023;2(11):e0000375. Epub 20231106. doi: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000375. PubMed PMID: 37930956; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC10627438.

28. Kiburi SK, Paruk S, Chiliza B. Mobile phone ownership, digital technology use and acceptability of digital interventions among individuals on opioid use disorder treatment in Kenya. *Front Digit Health*. 2022;4:975168. Epub 20220825. doi: 10.3389/fdgh.2022.975168. PubMed PMID: 36093384; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9452845.
29. Council NSDC. National Multisectoral HIV Prevention Acceleration Plan 2023–2030.
30. Yew SQ, Trivedi D, Adanan NIH, Chew BH. Facilitators and Barriers to the Implementation of Digital Health Technologies in Hospital Settings in Lower- and Middle-Income Countries Since the Onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Scoping Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2025;27:e63482. doi: 10.2196/63482.
31. Wagner AD, Njuguna IN, Neary J, Lawley KA, Loudon DKN, Tiwari R, et al. Demand creation for HIV testing services: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS Medicine*. 2023;20(3):e1004169. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1004169.
32. Peng R, Chang J, Du Y, Zhang C, Li X, Guo Y, et al. Older adults' perceptions and experiences of engaging in web- and mobile-based physical activity interventions: A systematic review and qualitative meta-synthesis. *Geriatric Nursing*. 2024;59:630-8. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2024.08.025>.
33. Communications Authority of Kenya. Third Quarter Sector Statistics Report, Financial Year 2023/2024. 2024.
34. Agot K, Cain M, Medley A, Kimani J, Gichangi P, Kiio C, et al. Formative assessment to identify perceived benefits and barriers of HIV oral self-testing among female sex workers, service providers, outreach workers, and peer educators to inform scale-up in Kenya. *AIDS Care*. 2022;34(6):717-24. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2021.1894318.
35. Ampt FH, L'Engle K, Lim MSC, Plourde KF, Mangone E, Mukanya CM, et al. A Mobile Phone–Based Sexual and Reproductive Health Intervention for Female Sex Workers in Kenya: Development and Qualitative Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020;8(5):e15096. Epub 29.5.2020. doi: 10.2196/15096. PubMed PMID: 32469326.
36. Mason S, Ezechi OC, Obiezu-Umeh C, Nwaozuru U, BeLue R, Airhihenbuwa C, et al. Understanding factors that promote uptake of HIV self-testing among young people in Nigeria: Framing youth narratives using the PEN-3 cultural model. *PLOS ONE*. 2022;17(6):e0268945. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0268945.
37. Cao B, Gupta S, Wang J, Hightow-Weidman LB, Muessig KE, Tang W, et al. Social Media Interventions to Promote HIV Testing, Linkage, Adherence, and Retention: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2017;19(11):e394. doi: 10.2196/jmir.7997.
38. Obermeyer Z, Abujaber S, Makar M, Stoll S, Kayden SR, Wallis LA, et al. Emergency care in 59 low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2015;93(8):577-86g. Epub 20150526. doi: 10.2471/blt.14.148338. PubMed PMID: 26478615; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4581659.

562 Tables

563 **Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of interviewed population

		Overall n=24	Older adult n=12	Young adult n=12
Age (median, IQR)		25 [23.0 30.0]	30 [28.8, 33.8]	23 [20.8, 23.0]
Gender (n, %)	Female	12 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)
	Male	12 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)
Relationship status (n, %)	Monogamous	2 (8.3)	1 (8.3)	1 (8.3)
	Polygamous	1 (4.2)	1 (8.3)	0 (0.0)
	Married	7 (29.2)	6 (50.0)	1 (8.3)
	Separated (Still Married)	1 (4.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
	Single	12 (50.0)	3 (25.0)	9 (75.0)
	Wishes Not to Disclose	1 (4.2)	1 (8.3)	0 (0.0)
Education level achieved (n, %)	Beyond Secondary School	10 (41.7)	5 (41.7)	5 (41.7)
	Primary School	1 (4.2)	1 (8.3)	0 (0.0)
	Secondary School	13 (54.2)	6 (50.0)	7 (58.3)
In school (n, %)	No	21 (87.5)	12 (100.0)	9 (75.0)
	Yes	3 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	3 (25.0)
Current employment (n, %)	No Job / Not Working	6 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (50.0)
	Currently			
	Self-Employed	6 (25.0)	3 (25.0)	3 (25.0)
	Work Labourer	9 (37.5)	6 (50.0)	3 (25.0)
	Working Professional	3 (12.5)	3 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
Has a primary care provider (n, %)	No	17 (70.8)	8 (66.7)	9 (75.0)
	Yes	7 (29.2)	4 (33.3)	3 (25.0)
Never previously tested for HIV (n, %)	No	4 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	4 (33.3)
	Yes	20 (83.3)	12 (100.0)	8 (66.7)
Condomless sex in the last 6 months (n, %)	No	13 (54.2)	6 (50.0)	7 (58.3)
	Wishes not to disclose	2 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
	Yes	9 (37.5)	6 (50.0)	3 (25.0)
	Missing	1 (4.2)	1 (7.7)	0 (0.0)
Aware that HIV self-tests exist (n, %)	No	5 (20.8)	2 (16.7)	3 (25.0)
	Yes	19 (79.2)	10 (83.3)	9 (75.0)

564 Figures

565 **Figure 1. Eligibility diagram describing sub-study sampling from parent study population, August – November 2023**

566 **Figure 2.** Thematic mapping acceptability of BeSure™ digital health technology for HIV service enhancement among emergency care
567 patients at Kenyatta National Hospital, August - November 2023