ALERT Eph. 4:15 SHEET

the Alert Sheet Informant

SAMPLE

AUGUST 1981

a supplement to the Alert Sheet

A NEW LOOK

After seeing "News & Notes" on many different publications, we felt led to become something more unique. After months of consideration, we have come up with the title for our newsletter "The Alert Sheet Informant". For those receiving the News & Notes (now the Informant) by itself, it will be clarified in the heading that it is a newsletter supplement to The Alert Sheet and not a publication in its own right. Our newsletter has become quite well received by many readers other than our regular mailing and it is our intent thereby to inform as many people as possible of what is happening in our government and bureaucracies, primarily as it relates to Christians and churches today.

AN OPEN LETTER TO WILLIAM H. CONNETT, DISTRICT DIRECTOR, IRS LOS ANGELES

June 30, 1981

William H. Connett
5679 Bramblewood Dr.
La Canada, CA 91011

Dear Mr. Connett:

I am in receipt of your letter of June 9, 1981, "requesting" the sum of 1137.00 and further "requesting" that I sign a waiver of my rights if I agree to your "findings". It is with utmost dismay and disgust that I received your arbitrary figure of "deficiency", based on your completely fallacious statement "We have disallowed the amounts shown on your return because you have not answered our previous letter requesting supporting information." I have in my files two signed return receipts evidencing receipt by the IRS of my previous responses, and yet you have the audacity to make the above statement! It is for this reason that I am sending this letter both to your residence and to the main office of the IRS in Los Angeles in an effort to do all I can to assure that you do receive my "answer" this time – even though the former signed receipts should be sufficient evidence that my previous "answers" have in fact been received by the IRS. By the way, I still have not received the completed Public Servant's Questionnaire form asking, among other things, if the U.S. Constitution will be adhered to.

Now you ask if I agree with your "findings", demanding that I have thirty days in which to agree or disagree. Yes indeed, I DO DISAGREE WITH YOUR ARBITRARY FIGURES arrived at on the "report" – and more particularly, I disagree with the remarks made thereon. I strongly object to the implication made that I am not complying with the "law" in the signing of my "return" under penalty of perjury, which means that criminal sanctions would apply if I wasn't telling the truth. Yet, without probable cause that I have committed perjury or fraud upon the revenue of the United States, I am being implicated as one not meeting the requirements of the "law". In a country where its citizens are sovereign, we have a public "Service" that presumes that public to be guilty until they prove themselves innocent – all this by means of the IRS denying the Supreme Law of the Land, the U.S. Constitution. Even criminals must be given their Miranda warning in recognition of their Constitutional rights!

Being a minister of Jesus Christ and a man of righteous principles, and willing to stand upon those principles for the Cause of Christ, I am so diametrically opposed to what you are doing that I would rather suffer bonds than to submit to what I believe is tyranny! Am I an evil doer that I should be sought after as a criminal? I am mindful of the words of the Apostle Paul who said, "I suffer trouble, as an evil doer, even unto bonds; but the word of God is not bound." (II Tim.2:9) It has always been that darkness seeks to persecute the righteous, "And others had trial of cruel mockings and scourgings, yea, moreover of bonds and imprisonment....(Of whom the world was not worthy:)" (Heb.11:36,38) Is your eye evil because I am righteous?

If you believe that I have lied to you on