per cent point, designate the moderate middle-of-the-roaders who are only half for the Constitution and, per se, half against it; or are, as Lincoln said, "groping for some middle ground between the right and the wrong." (24-48)

NO ULTRA-CONSERVATIVES

There is no such thing as an ultra-conservative because there is nothing above the Constitution to advocate—100 per cent is the top. This is so high above the zero point that it, no doubt, seems "ultra" to the red gentry of that lower region. The talk of "extremists of the right" is unadulterated nonsense, because "right" and "left" have no import whatever under constitutional government. They are aped from the British parliamentary system. Under the Constitution the only antonym of "right" is "wrong"—which precisely describes the anti-constitutionalists.

WHAT IS A "LIBERAL"?

> "In the United States today 'liberal' means a set of ideas and political postulates that in every respect are the opposite of all that liberalism meant to preceding generations. The American self-styled liberal aims at government omnipotence, is a resolute foe of free enterprise, and advocates all around planning by the authorities, i.e., socialism."
>
> —Dr. Ludwig von Mises, New York University

Not only have the "liberals" thus usurped a name which doesn't describe them in their opposition to the Constitution—which is the sole guarantee of our liberties, but they are quite obviously in the process of usurpation of the conservative label, as well, in their program of deceit. Witness the donkey chorus braying at genuine constitutionalists such appelations as "extremist," "superpatriot," and "right-winger," none of which bears the imprecation inferred. The object is to confuse non-thinkers, and to clear the conservative bench for future liberal occupancy. Think! What's wrong with "extremism in defense of liberty"? What's wrong with being "extremely American in thought and spirit"? What's wrong with being "superlatively patriotic"? What's wrong with 100 per cent support of the Constitution? The 56 signers of the Declaration of Independence risked their necks in extreme, superpatriotic, 100 per cent support of the very same objectives. There wasn't a middle-of-the-roader among them. Not one! Neither is today's patriot "some kind of a nut." (19-20-43)

FABIAN SOCIALISM

So, a "liberal" is not liberal, and hopes soon to be thought conservative, all in the best tradition of Fabian socialism (brought to America through Harvard University) which, like the Roman general Quintus Fabius Maximus, after his direct assault on

Hannibal failed, seeks to gain its end by deceit. Fabian socialists in Great Britain chose as their symbol a wolf in sheep's fleece! Stalin, Hitler, and Mussolini started out as socialists. They were wolves in sheep's clothing. Hitler, whom "liberals" delight in depicting as a "rightist," rose to prominence—with international bankers' financing—as an advocate of cradle-to-grave security, socialized medicine, compulsory unionism, and government housing and farm projects. (12-19-23-26-33)

> "Almost every week there is a shipment of gold to Germany."
> — Congressman Louis T. McFadden (Congressional Record, April 27, 1932)

REASONS FOR RIOT AND CRIME TOLERANCE

Neither riot nor other crime need be tolerated. Control of the courts has made crime a contribution to the breakdown of local authority, creating a vacuum for occupancy by federal authority; in turn supported by the ignorant vote—bribed with open housing, rent subsidies, guaranteed income, and sundry giveaways. Revolution and violence are being created at the bottom of society while creating collaterally an increasing pressure for federal power by demanding that the executive branch be given massive power to solve such created problems.

> "It has been established, and the Press, TV and Radio were unable to hush it up, that the Rap Browns, Carmichaels, Martin Lucifer Kings, et al, have been, and are being, financed by the Ford Foundation, Carnegie Foundation and other tax-exempt Foundations." —Proofs of the Great Conspiracy

Federal troops were sent against Little Rock housewives by Eisenhower, and U.S. marshals against students at Oxford, Mississippi, by Kennedy—no difference, same control. Each violated his solemn oath under Article IV, Section 4, of the Constitution, which requires protection of any state against domestic violence only on application by its legislature or governor (when the legislature cannot be convened). Neither the legislatures nor the governors of Arkansas, Mississippi, or Alabama ever made any such applications. They were invaded by their constitutional protector.

Send $1.50 to Citizens Evaluation Institute, 62 Ben Franklin, Washington, D. C. 20044, for a copy of Bruce Alger's book, Revolutionary Actions . . . U.S.A. (10-19-27-34-46-55)

INFILTRATION OF LABOR ORGANIZATIONS

Walter Reuther, who headed the CIO before it merged with the AFL, was one of the founders of—and spokesman for—the ultra-liberal Americans for Democratic Action. He, and brother Victor, spent 18 months in Russia studying Communist techniques of agitation and propaganda. While there they wrote to