

not imply a divine right to rule.

We recognize that there are some people who should not be permitted to vote and interfere in the decisions that will determine the destiny of man, which is why we prohibit felons from voting. Perhaps we should extend that prohibition to include imbeciles and 10th generation welfare recipients?

It would be a fatal error to think that our deeply flawed society could be saved by so simple an expedient as the mere segregation or expulsion of some troublesome national or ethnic group. Mindless slogans like 'white power' actually make it more difficult for us to understand the real philosophical and political dilemma of the 21st century. No matter what the racial makeup of the population, the tyranny of the lowest socio-biologic class in our linsenkoist democratic political institutions will destroy us because our institutions give power to the most numerous and least capable people who can simply vote the money and power away from the capable and competent working people. If this is allowed to continue, there will eventually be a dysgenic decline in the population until the point is reached when the poor, incompetent low IQ people on welfare of whatever "race"* outnumber the superior socio-biologic class of working people so much that they will no longer be able to maintain society or support the lower class. At that point, riots, mass starvation and famine would reduce the population in an inhumane manner. Only Eugenics, not segregation could prevent this from happening.

The presence of more "favored races"* and less "favored races"* in our society can actually serve as a positive political advantage to the eugenic movement because it destroys the myth of equality and brings into question governments and political institutions based on that myth. The vast difference in the record of historical performance and anatomy between the more "favored races"* and less "favored races"* is so dramatic that the real inequality of all men is dramatically demonstrated: The lesson one must draw from this is that if more "favored races"* and less "favored races"* are innately unequal, might not other groups and classes within the same "race"* also be unequal? If the population were composed of only one "race"*, it might be more difficult to demonstrate the political need to recognize the fact of inequality and to deal with this reality by implementing a eugenic program. If we treat all people as though they were equal, the quality, ability and productivity of each succeeding generation will decline until we reach the point at which we can not maintain civilization at all.

Expulsion of all less "favored races"* from America or Europe would not prevent this collapse; it would merely postpone it. Ironically,

the more members of the lower socio-biologic class and the less "favored race"* that immigrate into the U.S. and Europe, the quicker and more dramatic will be the collapse of civilization and therefore the greater the political pressure that could be funneled into the eugenic movement. If a conservative political group like the KKK were to expel the immigrants, that might prevent the rapid building up of the political pressure that would be necessary to bring the eugenic movement to power. This would be a pyrrhic victory because in the end the civilization would still collapse because of dysgenic policy.

Because of the presence of more "favored races"* and less "favored races"* in Europe and America, we have practically a prewritten historical script to force the eugenic movement to power: Because if we continue our present dysgenic immigration and welfare policies, our standard of living and our civilization itself must quickly decline and disappear. Consider the situation in Europe and America today. We have a population composed of a mixture of more "favored races"* and less "favored races"* which are increasingly voting as a block within a political system which dispenses power and resources based on counting the number of votes and at the same time the less "favored races"* are increasing in numbers and political power much faster than the more "favored races"*. It is clear that such a society must theoretically destroy itself when the members of the lower socio-biologic class and the less "favored races"* become so numerous that they can no longer be maintained: But at the same time, they can not be thrown off within the context of a linsenkoist democratic tyranny based on one man one vote. Such a society (a linsenkoist democratic tyranny) must either destroy itself or develop a political system which gives power to people based on ability rather than numbers. Only the eugenic movement can offer such a formula.

If things continue on their present course, at some point, the more "favored races"* must either surrender their civilization, their standard of living and everything that their ancestors built up over thousands of years or break the political power of the less "favored races"* and lower socio-biologic class. It is not in human psychology to allow this to happen: When people see their civilization and standard of living disappearing, they will be motivated to take action. Only the eugenic movement provides a meaningful, just and practical means through which they can take action to preserve civilization and still treat everyone fairly without regard to "race"*. If the eugenic movement is unable to prevent the coming dysgenic disaster, there are two possibilities for Europe and America. Either the political economic system will collapse and even civilization itself will disappear or else the political system