

"While its advocates may not so intend, all of these things will be drastically changed if the Equal Rights Amendment should be ratified. This is true because the ERA will convert the states from sovereign authorities in the constitutional field now assigned to them into rather meaningless zeroes on the nation's map." (Note section II of the amendment specifies that Congress, not the states, will have the power to enforce the amendment.)

Ervin also makes this astute observation and conclusion: "At the present time the Supreme Court bench is occupied by some Justices who are judicial activists, i.e., judges who are bent on construing the Constitution of the United States to mean what they would have said, if they, rather than the founding fathers, had written it.

"As one who venerates government by laws and abhors government by men, I am unwilling to vest in judicial activists the vastly expanded and multiplied judicial power which the Equal Rights Amendment would confer on the Supreme Court of the United States."

Now in addition to the "family matters" mentioned by the ex-senator, it is well to remember that the ERA will also deprive women in industry of the special protections they now enjoy by law in every state and at the federal level. It will also deprive women of their special exemption from a military draft and assignment to combat duty. It will, in the opinion of many constitutional lawyers, give every woman a constitutional right to an abortion — why? Because men cannot have an abortion, therefore all laws restricting abortion will be judged to be discriminatory. Women now have this "right" to abortion by a split decision of the Supreme Court. ERA proponents want it locked into the Constitution, where no court can touch it.

Your privacy is in danger. A new debt-collection bill (H.R. 4613) would allow the IRS to disclose to private-sector reporting services the names and addresses of persons owing money to the federal government.

Congress Moves Against Volcker

Business Week, Forbes have refused to inform their readers! You must be kept dumb!

WHO controls the pens of the AP, UPI, and Dow Jones Wire Service writers??

AP/UPI — REFUSE TO TALK (Gagged)!

... On July 27th, the U.S. Senate and House both passed resolutions condemning high interest rates and policies of the gold hating Fed Chm. Paul Volcker. The resolution passed the Senate 100 to 0 and the House by a margin of 403 to 17. The Congressional action was initiated by Sen. Lawton Chiles (D. Fla.), by Rep. Henry Reuss and Fernand St. Germain. Also, Sen. John Melcher (D. Mont.) introduced a joint House/Senate resolution that demands Presidential action to bring down interest rates. Melcher's bill requires that the President immediately call in the Board of Governors of the Fed for purposes of modifying its interest rate policy

within the next 90 days. But wait, there is more —

Sen. Gonzales Calls for Impeachment of Volcker!

... Aren't you amazed that your local AP and UPI wire services have not reported on what is going on in Congress?? Don't you expect to be told the truth by your newspapers?? ... The fact is that there is a revolt brewing against the CFR - TRILATERAL policies — but the controlled press, is instructed to see that you don't find out! ... In late July, Cong. Henry Gonzales (D, Tx.), a member of the House Banking Committee (a key position), introduced 2 bills which would transfer the bulk of the Federal Reserve Board's power to the Treasury Dept.!! Don't you think THIS is major news entitled to be on the front page? Gonzales also asked that Fed Chm. Volcker be impeached — due to alleged "high crimes"!

... One bill calls for the repeal of the Federal Reserve Act (hurray) and the functions now carried out by the Fed to be transferred to the Treasury Dept. Unfortunately, Gonzales is on vacation and I haven't been able to reach him to discuss this matter, but come Sept. we'll obtain a "feel" as to whether it's possible this legislation might be actually voted on by the full House and Senate.

The Justice Times, November 1981

Montana 55 mph limit ruled illegal — in 1983

The Associated Press

HELENA, Mont. — Montana's Supreme Court has thrown out the 55 mph speed limit — but don't hit the gas pedal just yet.

The court ruled unanimously last week that the method by which the 1974 state law was imposed was unconstitutional. But the court also

said its ruling will not go into effect until Oct. 1, 1983.

The delay avoids a possible confrontation with the Federal Government, which has threatened to withhold highway money to any state failing to impose the 55 mph limit.

Now Montana's Legislature must grapple with the issue, either in No-

vember or in a special session in 1983.

Prior to 1974 Montana had no daytime speed limit. The court said the state law authorizing the regulation was unconstitutional because it gave permanent authority to the attorney general to set the limit at whatever point is necessary to keep federal highway funds coming into Montana.